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On Approximation of the Solutions to Partial Differential Equations in Finance *

Akihiko Takahashi †and Toshihiro Yamada ‡


Abstract

This paper proposes a general approximation method for the solutions to second-order parabolic partial differential equations (PDEs) widely used in finance through an extension of Léandre’s approach (Léandre (2006,2008)) and the Bismut identity (e.g. chapter IX-7 of Malliavin (1997)) in Malliavin calculus. We show two types of its applications, new approximations of derivatives prices and short-time asymptotic expansions of the heat kernel. In particular, we provide new approximation formulas for plain-vanilla and barrier option prices under stochastic volatility models. We also derive short-time asymptotic expansions of the heat kernel under general time-homogenous local volatility and local-stochastic volatility models in finance which include Heston (Heston (1993)) and (λ-)SABR models (Hagan et.al. (2002), Labordere (2008)) as special cases. Some numerical examples are shown.

Keywords: Barrier Options, Knock-out options, SABR model, λ-)SABR models, Heston model, Short time asymptotics, Heat kernel expansions, Malliavin calculus, Bismut indentity, Stochastic volatility, Local volatility, Integration-by-parts, Semigroup, Derivatives pricing

1 Introduction

This paper proposes a new method for the approximation to the solutions of second-order parabolic partial differential equations (PDEs), which has been widely used for pricing and hedging derivatives in finance since Black-Scholes (1973) and Merton (1973).

In particular, we derive an approximation formula as Theorem 3.1 based on an asymptotic expansion of the solutions to the second-order parabolic PDEs by Léandre’s Approach (Léandre (2006,2008)) and an application of Malliavin calculus effectively: the approximation formula is derived through an extension of Léandre’s “elementary integration by parts formula” (Theorem 2.2 in Léandre (2006)) presented in Proposition 3.1, and an application of the Bismut identity (e.g. chapter IX-7 of Malliavin (1997)). Also, this derivation can be regarded as an extension of the PDE weight method in Malliaivn-Thalmaier (2006) to an asymptotic expansion of the solutions of the PDEs.

Moreover, our method has an advantage in a sense that our computational scheme can be applied to various diffusion models in a unified way to obtaining derivatives’ prices and Greeks under various (multi-dimensional) diffusion models. Especially, it is stressed that as an application we derive a new approximation formula for pricing barrier options under a stochastic volatility model, a SABR model, where our formula is obtained by an expansion of the well-known barrier option formula under Black-Scholes model. Note that because SABR model has no mean-reverting component in the volatility process, the fast mean-reverting asymptotic analysis by Fouque et al.(2000a,b) and Ilhan et al.(2004) seems not applicable to this model.

In addition, we apply this method to deriving a short-time asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel under the general diffusion setting which includes general time-homogenous local volatility, Heston and (λ-)SABR
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models as special cases; for the local volatility model, we also show how to compute the coefficients in the expansion by using the Lie bracket. Furthermore, we note that the similar method can be applied to a certain class of non-linear parabolic partial differential equations though this paper explicitly deals with the linear PDEs. (Please see Remark 3.1.)

There are many approaches for approximations of heat kernels through certain asymptotic expansions: for instance, there are recent works such as Baudoin (2009), Gatheral-Hsu-Laurence-Ouyang-Wang (2009), Ben Arous-Laurence (2009), Takahashi-Takehara-Toda (2009) and Takahashi-Yamada (2009). On approximation of the solutions to second-order parabolic equations and its applications to option pricing, Cheng et al. (2010, 2011) have been developing a new method called Dyson-Taylor Commutator method. Furthermore, Fujii-Takahashi (2011) has developed a new approximation method for the solutions to the nonlinear PDEs associated with the four step scheme for solving forward backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs).

The organization of the paper is as follows: After the next section introduces Léandre’s Approach, Section 3 derives an integration by parts formula as an extension of a Léandre’s theorem and then provides an approximation to the solution of second-order linear parabolic PDEs. Section 4 applies the method developed in the previous section to finance including the valuations of plain-vanilla and barrier options under stochastic volatility environment as well as options’ vega. In particular, we provide a new approximate formula and a simple numerical example for down-and-out barrier option prices under a SABR model. Section 5 derives a short-time asymptotic expansion using integration by parts formula. Section 6 shows examples of the short-time asymptotic expansion under general time-homogeneous local volatility, stochastic volatility model with log-normal local volatility and general local-stochastic volatility models. We also provides numerical examples of the short-time asymptotic expansion under Heston model. Finally, Appendix summarizes the calculation of the second order approximation in Section 6.1.

2 Malliavin Calculus in Semi-group Theory

This section summarizes a part of Léandre (2006, 2008) which reveals the connections between the semigroup theory and Malliavin calculus. In particular, we introduce Theorem 2.2 below that provides a nice idea for an approximation of the solutions to parabolic PDEs and will be extended in the next section for our purpose.

Consider the following diffusion process on $\mathbb{R}^n$ over the $d$-dimensional Wiener space $(W, H, \mu)$.

$$dX_t = \sum_{k=1}^{d} V_k(X_t) \circ dW^k_t + V_0(X_t)dt,$$

$$X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $V_k = (V^1_k, \cdots, V^n_k)$ with $V_k \in C^\infty_{b}$. Let $A^{ij}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} V^i_k(x)V^j_k(x)$ and we assume that a $n \times n$ matrix $A(x) = [A^{ij}(x)]$ is invertible at any point.

We define $\tilde{V}_k$ as

$$\tilde{V}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V^i_k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, d.$$  

and

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \tilde{V}_k^2 + \tilde{V}_0.$$ 

Associated to the operator $\mathcal{L}$, we consider the following PDE:

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L} \right) u(t, x) = 0,$$

$$u(0, x) = f(x),$$

where $f \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, the unique solution $u(t, x)$ has the following form:

$$u(t, x) = P_t f(x) = E[f(X^x_t)],$$

where the family of $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Markov semigroup, i.e., $P_{t+s} = P_t P_s$. $\mathcal{L}$ is the generator of $P_t$. 


Let $Z$ be the following 1-dimensional process:

$$
dZ_t = Z_t \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_k^i(t)dW^k_t \right), \quad Z_0 = 1. \tag{2.6}
$$

where $h_k^i \in L^2([0,T])$. Note that $Z_t$ is given by

$$
Z_t = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_0^t h_k(s)dW^k_s - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_0^t |h_k(t)|^2 ds \right\}, \tag{2.7}
$$

where $h_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_k^i$. Define

$$
\hat{h}_k = h_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, d. \tag{2.8}
$$

Let

$$
\hat{V}_k = \hat{V}_k + \hat{h}_k, \quad k = 1, \cdots, d. \tag{2.9}
$$

Then, let $\hat{L}^h$ be a generator

$$
\hat{L}^h = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \hat{V}_k^2 + \hat{V}_0. \tag{2.10}
$$

It generates a time-inhomogenous Markov semigroup $(\hat{P}^h_{s,t})_{(t \geq s \geq 0)}$.

Next, for $t \in [0,T]$ ($T \in (0, \infty)$) we consider the following diffusion process:

$$
dX^h_t = \sum_{k=1}^{d} V_k(X_t) \circ dW^k_t + \sum_{k=1}^{d} h_k(t)V_k(X_t)dt + V_0(X_t)dt, \tag{2.11}
$$

$$
X^h_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.12}
$$

The associated generator is given by

$$
\hat{L}^h = \mathcal{L} + \sum_{k=1}^{d} h_k \hat{V}_k. \tag{2.13}
$$

It generates a time-inhomogenous Markov semi-group $(\hat{P}^h_{t})_{(t \geq 0)}$.

We write $P^h_{t,s}$ and $\hat{P}^h_{t,s}$ as $P^h_t$ and $\hat{P}^h_t$, respectively.

**Theorem 2.1** Consider a $\mathbb{R}$-valued function $\hat{f}(x,y) = fg(x,y) = f(x)g(y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ where $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g(y) = y$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the following formula holds.

$$
P^h_t f(x) = \hat{P}^h_t[\hat{f}](x,1) = P^h_t[fg](x,1). \tag{2.14}
$$

**Proof 2.1**

$$
\hat{P}^h_t[\hat{f}](x,z) = E \left\{ f(X^h_t) z \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_0^t h_k(s)dW^k_s - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_0^t |h_k(t)|^2 ds \right\} \right\} \tag{2.15}
$$

Note that

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{P}^h_t[\hat{f}](x,z) \right)_{x=1} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{P}^h_t[\hat{f}](x,1) \right)_{x=1} \tag{2.16}
$$

$$
= h_k^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \hat{P}^h_t[\hat{f}](x,1). \tag{2.17}
$$
Then,
\[ \mathcal{L}^h P_t^h [\hat{f}] (x, z) \big|_{z=1} = \mathcal{L}^h P_t^h [\hat{f}] (x, 1). \] (2.16)

We also have
\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}^h \right) \hat{P}_t^h [\hat{f}] (x, z) \big|_{z=1} = 0. \] (2.17)

Therefore, \( u(t, x) = \hat{P}_t^h [\hat{f}] (x, 1) \) satisfies
\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}^h \right) u(t, x) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}^h \right) u(t, x) = 0. \] (2.18)

On the other hand, \( F(t, x) = P_t^h f(x) \) satisfies
\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}^h \right) F(t, x) = 0. \] (2.19)

Then, the result follows from the uniqueness of the solution.

Remark
\[ P_t^h f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{W}} f(X_t^{(x)}) d\mu^h(w) = \int_{\mathcal{W}} f(X_t^{(x)}) Z_t^1 d\mu(w) = \hat{P}_t^h [\hat{f}] (x, 1), \] (2.20)

where \( \mu^h \) is the shifted Wiener measure in the direction of \( h \in H \), i.e., \( \mu^h(w) = \mu(w + h) \).

We consider the following perturbed diffusion process, for \( t \in [0, T] \):
\[ dX_t^{(x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} V_k(X_t^{(x)}) \circ dW_t^k + \sum_{k=1}^{d} c_{h_k}(t)V_k(X_t^{(x)})dt + V_0(X_t^{(x)})dt, \] (2.21)

where \( \epsilon \in [0, 1] \).

The associated generator is given by
\[ \mathcal{L}^h = \mathcal{L} + \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{d} h_k \hat{V}_k, \] (2.22)

\( \epsilon \in [0, 1] \).

Let \( f \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \). \( u'(t, x) := P_t^h f(x) = E[f(X_t^{(x)})] \), \( t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the unique solution to the following PDE:
\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L} \right) u'(t, x) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T] \] (2.23)

\[ u'(0, x) = f(x). \]

Note also that \( u''(t, x) = P_t^h f(x) = P_t f(x) = E[f(X_t^x)] \), \( t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the unique solution of the following PDE:
\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L} \right) u''(t, x) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T] \] (2.24)

\[ u''(0, x) = f(x). \]

Theorem 2.2 below will present a formula for
\[ u_1(t, x) := P_t^h f(x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} P_t^h f(x). \] (2.25)

First, it is easily seen that \( u_1(t, x) \) is the unique solution to the PDE:
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_1(t, x) - \mathcal{L}^0 u_1(t, x) - \sum_{k=1}^{d} h_k \hat{V}_k u_1'(t, x) = 0, \] (2.26)
\[ u_1(0, x) = 0. \]
Consider the following 1-dimensional process:

\[
\begin{align*}
    dQ_t &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^k(t) dW_i^k, \\
    Q_0 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.27)

Define \( h_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^k \) and

\[
\tilde{h}_k(t) = h_k \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, d.
\]  

(2.28)

Then, define \( \tilde{V}_k \) as

\[
\tilde{V}_k = \bar{V}_k + \tilde{h}_k(t),
\]  

(2.29)

where

\[
\bar{h}_k(t) = h_k \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, d,
\]  

(2.30)

and the generator \( \bar{L}^h \) as

\[
\bar{L}^h = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \tilde{V}_k^2 + \tilde{V}_0.
\]  

(2.31)

Then, it generate a time inhomogenous semigroup \( \{\bar{P}^h_{s,t}\}_{t \geq s \geq 0} \). We write \( \bar{P}^h_{0,t} \) as \( \bar{P}^h_t \).

**Theorem 2.2** Elementary integration by parts formula -Léandre (2006,2008)-

Consider a \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued function \( \tilde{f}(x, y) = fg(x, y) = f(x)g(y) \) on \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) where \( f \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( g(y) = y \) for \( y \in \mathbb{R} \). Then, the following formula holds.

\[
u_1(t, x) = \bar{P}^h_t[\tilde{f}(x, 0) = \bar{P}^h_t[fg](x, 0) = \int_0^t \bar{P}^h_{t-s} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^k(s) V_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [\bar{P}^h_s f](x) ds.
\]  

(2.32)

**Proof 2.2** Consider the following PDE:

\[
\frac{\partial f(t, x, q)}{\partial t} = \bar{L}^h \tilde{f}(t, x, q),
\]

\[
\tilde{f}(0, x, q) = \tilde{f}(x, q).
\]

Then, the unique solution is given by

\[
\tilde{f}(t, x, q) = \bar{P}^h_t[\tilde{f}(x, q) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left[ f(X_i^{(0)}(t) \left( q + \int_0^t h_i^k(s) dW_i^k \right) \right].
\]  

(2.33)

Also, we have the relation:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \bar{P}^h_t[\tilde{f}(x, q) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left[ f(X_i^{(0)}(t) \left( q + \int_0^t h_i^k(s) dW_i^k \right) \right] \]
    &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left[ f(X_i^{(0)}(t) \int_0^t h_i^k(s) dW_i^k + E \left[ f(X_i^{(0)}(t) \right] q\right] \\
    &= \bar{P}^h_t[\tilde{f}(x, 0) + \bar{P}^h_t[f](x) q.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.34)

Thus, we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{P}^h_t[\tilde{f}(x, q) = \bar{L}^h \bar{P}^h_t[\tilde{f}(x, q) = \bar{L}^h \{ \bar{P}^h_t[\tilde{f}(x, 0) + \bar{P}^h_t[f](x) q\}.
\]  

(2.35)
Note that the function $x \mapsto \mathcal{P}_t^h [f](x, 0)$ does not depend on $q$, then
\begin{equation}
    h_k^i V_k^i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial q} \mathcal{P}_t^h f(x, 0) = 0, \tag{2.36}
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
    h_k^i V_k^i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial q} \mathcal{P}_t f(x)q = h_k^i V_k^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \mathcal{P}_t f(x), \tag{2.37}
\end{equation}
then
\begin{equation}
    \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{P}_t^h f(x, 0) = \mathcal{L}^0 \mathcal{P}_t^h f(x, 0) + \sum_{k=1}^d h_k \hat{V}_k \mathcal{P}_t f(x), \tag{2.38}
\end{equation}
with starting condition 0. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}_t^h [f](x, 0)$ satisfies (2.26) with starting condition 0.

On the other hand, it is easily seen that
\begin{align*}
    &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_0^t \mathcal{P}_{t-s}^0 \sum_{k=1}^d h_k \hat{V}_k \mathcal{P}_s^0 f(x) ds \right) \\
    &= \mathcal{L}^0 \left( \int_0^t \mathcal{P}_{t-s}^0 \sum_{k=1}^d h_k \hat{V}_k \mathcal{P}_s^0 f(x) ds \right) + \sum_{k=1}^d h_k \hat{V}_k \mathcal{P}_t f(x). \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
Then, $\left( \int_0^t \mathcal{P}_{t-s}^0 \sum_{k=1}^d h_k \hat{V}_k \mathcal{P}_s^0 f(x) ds \right)$ satisfies (2.26) with starting condition 0.

The result follows from the uniqueness of the solution of (2.26).

**Remark 2.1** (2.32) corresponds to Theorem 2.2 of Léandre(2006) and Theorem 5 of Léandre(2008).

**Remark 2.2** Alternatively, we can derive the formula $u_1(t, x) = \mathcal{P}_t^h [f](x, 0)$ in Theorem 2.2 in the following manner. Consider the following $n \times n$ matrix-valued process, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n,$
\begin{align*}
    dU_j^i(t) &= \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^n A_{i,l}(s) U_j^k(s) \circ dW_l^i + \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(s) U_j^k(s) ds, \tag{2.40} \\
    U_j^i(0) &= \delta_j^i,
\end{align*}
where
\begin{align*}
    A_{i,l}(s) &= \partial_k V_l^i(X^{0}_s), \tag{2.41} \\
    B_k(s) &= \partial_k V_k^0(X^{0}_s), \tag{2.42}
\end{align*}
and $\delta_j^i$ is the Kronecker’s delta. Let $D_{s,k}, k = 1, \cdots, d$ be the Malliavin derivative acting on the Brownian motion $W^k_t$. Then, it is well-known that for $s \leq t,$
\begin{equation}
    D_{s,k} X_{i,t}^{0} = \sum_{l,j=1}^n U_j^i(t) U^{-1}(s)_{j,l} V_k^l(X^{0}_s). \tag{2.43}
\end{equation}
Hence, we obtain that for $f \in C^1_b,$
\begin{align*}
    u_1(t, x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_1 = 0 \mathcal{P}_t^h f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |_{s=0} E[f(X^{0}_s)] \\
    &= \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[ \partial_i f(X_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} |_{s=0} X_{i,t}^{0} \right] \\
    &= \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[ \partial_i f(X_i) \sum_{l,j=1}^n U_j^i(t) U^{-1}(s)_{j,l} \int_0^t U^{-1}(s) V_k^l(X^{0}_s) ds \right] \\
    &= \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[ \int_0^t \partial_i f(X^{0}_s) D_{s,k} X_{i,t}^{0} h_k^i(s) ds \right]
\end{align*}
Here, \( V \) where or the solution to a second-order linear parabolic partial differential equation. In this section, we will extend Léandre’s “elementary integration by parts formula” (Theorem 2.2 in the previous section) to Proposition 3.1 below, and present an approximation formula (3.20) in Theorem 3.1 of the solution to Parabolic PDEs

\[ \text{Corollary 2.1} \]

\[ \mathbf{P}^h[f](x, 0) = \mathbf{P}^h[fg](x, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g^h(y)p^{(0)}(t, x, y)dy, \]  

where

\[ g^h(y) = E \left[ \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t h^i_k(s)dW^k_s | X^{(0)} = y \right]. \]

### 3 Integration by Parts Formula and Asymptotic Expansion of the Solution to Parabolic PDEs

In this section, we will extend Léandre’s “elementary integration by parts formula” (Theorem 2.2 in the previous section) to Proposition 3.1 below, and present an approximation formula (3.20) in Theorem 3.1 of the solution to a second-order linear parabolic partial differential equation.

Let \( X^{(0)} \) be the unique solution to the following \( n \)-dimensional perturbed SDE: for \( \epsilon \in [0, 1], \)

\[ dX^{(0)}_t = \sum_{k=1}^d V_k(\epsilon, X^{(0)}_t) \circ dW^k_t + V_0(\epsilon, X^{(0)}_t)dt, \]

\[ X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.1} \]

or

\[ dX^{(0)}_t = \sum_{k=1}^d V_k(\epsilon, X^{(0)}_t)dW^k_t + \tilde{V}_0(\epsilon, X^{(0)}_t)dt, \]

\[ X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.2} \]

where \( V_k = (V^{(1)}_k, \ldots, V^{(n)}_k) \) (\( k = 0, 1, \ldots, d \)) have bounded derivatives of any orders in the variables \( (\epsilon, x) \) and

\[ \tilde{V}_0(\epsilon, x) = V_0^{(0)}(\epsilon, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^d \partial^l V_k(\epsilon, x)V^{(l)}_k(\epsilon, x). \]

Here, “\( \omega \)” indicates the stochastic differential in the Stratonovich sense.

Also, consider the following \( n \times n \) matrix-valued process, \( \{U^{(i)}_j : (U^{(i)}_j(t)), 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 0 \leq t \}, \)

\[ dU^{(i)}_j(t) = \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^n A^{(i)}_{k,l}(s)U^{(l)}_j(s) \circ dW^k_t + \sum_{k=1}^n B^{(i)}_{k,l}(s)U^{(l)}_j(s)ds, \]

\[ U^{(i)}_j(0) = \delta_j, \tag{3.3} \]

where

\[ A^{(i)}_{k,l}(s) = \partial_s V^{(i)}_k(\epsilon, X^{(0)}_s), \]

\[ B^{(i)}_{k,l}(s) = \partial_s V^{(i)}_k(\epsilon, X^{(0)}_s) \]

and \( \delta_j \) is the Kronecker’s delta, that is \( U^{(i)}_0 = I \) (the identity matrix). Specifically, for \( U^{(0)}_i \),

\[ A^{(0)}_{k,l}(s) = \left[ \partial_s V^{(0)}_k(\epsilon, X^{(0)}_s) \right]_{s=0}, \]

\[ B^{(0)}_{k,l}(s) = \left[ \partial_s V^{(0)}_k(\epsilon, X^{(0)}_s) \right]_{s=0}. \]
Let
\[ X^{(1,*)}_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} X^{(*)}_i. \]

Then, we have
\[ X^{(1,*)}_i = U^{(*)}_i \int_t^\epsilon \left( U^{(*)}_s \right)^{-\frac{d}{d}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_i V_k(\epsilon, X^{(*)}_i) \right)^{-1} dW^k_s + \partial_i V_0(\epsilon, X^{(*)}_i)ds, \]
\[ \text{where} \quad \partial_i \text{ means} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}. \]

In particular,
\[ X^{(1)}_i := X^{(1,0)}_i := \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} X^{(*)}_i \right|_{\epsilon=0} = U^{(0)}_i \int_t^\epsilon \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_i V_k(\epsilon, X^{(*)}_i) \right)^{-1} dW^k_s + \partial_i V_0(\epsilon, X^{(*)}_i)ds. \]

Next, let \( a^*(s)_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq d, \) be the process;
\[ a^*(s)_i = (U^*(s)^{-1}V_0(\epsilon, X^{(*)}_i))^i. \]

Then, the reduced Malliavin covariance \( V^{*}(t) = \{V^*_i(t)\} \) is expressed as
\[ (V^*_i(t))^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^d \int_t^\epsilon a^*(s)_j a^*(s)_k ds. \]

Throughout this section, we assume the following non-degeneracy of the reduced Malliavin covariance:
\[ [A1] \sup_{\epsilon \in [0,1]} E\{(\det(V^{*}(t)))^{-p}\} < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < p < \infty. \]

Then, by Theorem 9.2 in Ikeda and Watanabe (1989), we obtain a smooth density, \( y \mapsto p^*(t, x, y) \) associated with (3.1) with (3.2). Moreover, according to Remark 2.2 and Remark 2.3 in Watanabe (1987) as well as Proposition 2.2 in Ikeda and Watanabe (1989), we can see \( p^*(t, x, y) \) is smooth in \( x \) and \( \epsilon \) as well.

We next define \( \hat{V}^*_k \) as
\[ \hat{V}^*_k = \sum_{i=1}^n V^*_i(\epsilon, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, d, \]
and
\[ \mathcal{L}^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d (\hat{V}^*_k)^2 + \hat{V}^*_0. \]

Next, for \( f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \), let
\[ u^*(t, x) := P^*_t f(x) := E\left[f(X^{(*)}_t)\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)p^*(t, x, y)dy. \]

Then, \( u^*(t, x) \) is the solution to the following PDE:
\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}^* \right) u^*(t, x) = 0, \quad u^*(0, x) = f(x). \]

Also, let
\[ u^0(t, x) := P^0_t f(x) := E\left[f(X^{(0)}_t)\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)p^0(t, x, y)dy, \]
\[ \text{where} \quad p^0(t, x, y) \text{ is the smooth density for (3.1) with} \quad \epsilon = 0. \]

Then, \( u^0(t, x) \) is the solution to the following PDE:
\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}^0 \right) u^0(t, x) = 0, \quad u^0(0, x) = f(x). \]
3.1 Integration by Parts Formula

In this subsection, we will give the formula for \( u^1(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u^{(1)}(t, x) \big|_{t=0} \), and show that \( u^1(t, x) \) satisfies the following PDE:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L^0 \right) u^1(t, x) = L^1 u^0(t, x),
\]

where

\[
L^1 := \left. \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon = 0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \left[ V^j_i(\epsilon, x)V^i_k(\epsilon, x) \right] \bigg|_{\epsilon = 0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} V^i_0(\epsilon, x) \bigg|_{\epsilon = 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.
\]

Then, the following formula holds:

\[
\text{(3.11)} \quad u^1(0, x) = 0,
\]

\[
\text{(3.12)}
\]

For \( X_t \in \mathcal{D}^2 \), we denote \( D_{s,k} X_t \) as the Malliavin derivative acting on the Brownian motion \( W^k, k = 1, \ldots, d \). (Please see p.97 in Takahashi and Yamada (2012) for the details.) Then, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1** Let \( \zeta^{(0,1)}(t) \) be the process given by

\[
\zeta^{(0,1)}(t)^i = \left( V^0_i(t)^{-1} U^0_i(t)^{-1} X_1(t)^i \right)^1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.
\]

Then, the following formula holds:

\[
\text{(3.13)} \quad u^1(t, x) = \int_0^t P_{t-s}^{-1} L^1 [P^0 f](x) ds =
\]

\[
E \left[ f(X_t^{(0)}) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^d \left\{ \zeta^{(0,1)}(t)^i \int_0^t a^0(s)^i dW^k_t - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^{(0,1)}(t)^i a^0(s)^i ds \right\} \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) w(y) \rho^0(t, x, y) dy,
\]

where \( y \mapsto w(y) \) is a smooth function given by

\[
\text{(3.14)} \quad w(y) = \text{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^d \left\{ \zeta^{(0,1)}(t)^i \int_0^t a^0(s)^i dW^k_s - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^{(0,1)}(t)^i a^0(s)^i ds \right\} | X_t^{(0)} = y \right] .
\]

**(Proof)**

Let \( \{f_n\} \subset C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) be a sequence such that \( f_n \to f \) as \( n \to \infty \). For \( E[f_n(X_t^{(1)})] \), we can differentiate with respect to \( \epsilon \) (and set \( \epsilon = 0 \)) as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon = 0} E[f_n(X_t^{(1)})]
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{E} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} X_t^{(1)} \right] \bigg|_{\epsilon = 0}
\]

\[
= \text{E} \left[ \nabla f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \cdot X_t^{(1)} \right]
\]

\[
= \text{E} \left[ \nabla f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \cdot U_t V^0(t) V^0(t)^{-1} U_t^{-1} X_t^{(1)} \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \text{E} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) U(t)_m^l (V^0(t)_m^l)^{m-n} \left( V^0(t)^{-1} U_t^{-1} X_t^{(1)} \right)^l \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \text{E} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) U(t)_m^l \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^t \left( U_t^l V_k(X_t^{(0)}) \right)^m \left( U_t^{-1} V_k(X_t^{(0)}) \right)^o ds \right) \left( V^0(t)^{-1} U_t^{-1} X_t^{(1)} \right)^l \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \text{E} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \int_0^t \left( \sum_{m=1}^n U(t)_m^l (U_t^l V_k(X_t^{(0)}) \right)^o \left( U_t^{-1} V_k(X_t^{(0)}) \right)^o ds \left( V^0(t)^{-1} U_t^{-1} X_t^{(1)} \right)^l \right]
\]
Therefore, we obtain as
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} E \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \right] \int_0^t (U(t)U_t^{-1}V_k(X_t^{(0)}))^{l} (U_t^{-1}V_k(X_t^{(0)}))^{l} ds \left( (V_0(t))^{-1}U_t^{-1}X_1^{(1)} \right)^t \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} E \left[ \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) (U(t)U_t^{-1}V_k(X_t^{(0)}))^{l} (V_0(t))^{-1}U_t^{-1}X_1^{(1)} \right]^{t} (U_t^{-1}V_k(X_t^{(0)}))^{l} ds \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} E \left[ \int_0^t [D_s,k f_n(X_t^{(0)})] \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l a^0(s) d_s d_s \right] . \]
In the above equality, \( U \equiv U_0 \), and we used the following relation.
\[ D_{s,k} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) (U(t)U_t^{-1}V_k(X_t^{(0)}))^{l} . \]

For \( g \equiv (g^1, \ldots, g^n) \), \( g^l = f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l \), we have
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} E \left[ g^l \int_0^t a^0(s) d_s W_s^{k} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} E \left[ \int_0^t D_s,k g^l a^0(s) d_s d_s \right] , \]
and
\[ D_{s,k} g^l = D_{s,k} f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l = [D_{s,k} f_n(X_t^{(0)})] \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l + f_n(X_t^{(0)}) [D_{s,k} \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l] . \]

Then,
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} E \left[ f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \left[ \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l \int_0^t a^0(s) d_s W_s^{k} - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l a^0(s) d_s d_s \right] \right] . \]

Therefore, we obtain the following formula.
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} E[f_n(X_t^{(0)})] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} E \left[ f_n(X_t^{(0)}) \left[ \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l \int_0^t a^0(s) d_s W_s^{k} - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l a^0(s) d_s d_s \right] \right] \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_n(y) w(y)p^0(t, x, y) dy , \]

where
\[ w(y) = E \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} \left\{ \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l \int_0^t a^0(s) d_s W_s^{k} - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l a^0(s) d_s d_s \right\} |X_t^{(0)} = y . \]
The following estimates hold:
\[ |E[f(X_t^{(0)})] - E[f_n(X_t^{(0)})]| \leq \| f - f_n \|_{\infty} , \]
\[ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} E[f_n(X_t^{(0)})] - E[f(X_t^{(0)})] \right| \leq \| f - f_n \|_{\infty} \| \pi \|_{L^1} , \]
where
\[ \pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} \left\{ \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l \int_0^t a^0(s) d_s W_s^{k} - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l a^0(s) d_s d_s \right\} . \]

Therefore, we obtain as \( n \to \infty \),
\[ u^l(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} E[f(X_t^{(0)})] \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{d} E \left[ f(X_t^{(0)}) \left[ \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l \int_0^t a^0(s) d_s W_s^{k} - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^{(1)}(t)^l a^0(s) d_s d_s \right] \right] \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) w(y)p^0(t, x, y) dy . \]
3.2 Asymptotic Expansion

Let \( H_{(1)}(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \cdot) : D_\infty \to D_\infty \) be the divergence operator (Malliavin weight) defined by the Bismut identity (pp.247-248 in Malliavin (1997)):

\[
H_{(1)}(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \Psi_t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^d \left[ \zeta^i(t) \int_0^t a^i(s) dW^k_s - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^i(t) a^i(s) ds \right],
\]

where \( \Psi_t \) is a smooth functional in the Malliavin sense, \( \Psi_t \in D_\infty \), and

\[
\zeta^i(t)^t = (V^*_t(t)^{-1} U^*(t)^{-1} \Psi_t)^t.
\]

The iterated Malliavin weight \( H_k \) is recursively defined as follows:

\[
H_k(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \Psi_t) = H_{(1)}(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \Psi_t), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

with

\[
H_0(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \Psi_t) \equiv \Psi_t.
\]

The next theorem is our main result in this section.

**Theorem 3.1** Consider the following PDE with its initial condition \( f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \):

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L^* \right) u^*(t, x) = 0,
\]

\[
u^*(0, x) = f(x).
\]

Then, its solution

\[
u^*(t, x) = P^*_t f(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X^{(\epsilon)}_t) \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) p^*(t, x, y) dy,
\]

has an asymptotic expansion in \( \mathbb{R} \):

\[
P^*_t f(x) = \left\{ P^0_t f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j a_j(x) \right\} + O(\epsilon^{N+1}),
\]

Alternatively, let \( \Xi_t = P^0_{t-s} P^*_s f(x) \). Then, we have

\[
P^*_t f(x) - P^0_t f(x) = \Xi_t - \Xi_0 = \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds}(\Xi_s) ds = \int_0^t P^0_{t-s}[L^* - L^0] P^*_s f(x) ds.
\]

Hence, using (3.12), we obtain

\[
u^t(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u^*(t, x) \bigg|_{t=0} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[ P^*_t f(x) - P^0_t f(x) \right]
\]

\[
= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t P^0_{t-s} \left[ L^* - L^0 \right] P^*_s f(x) ds
\]

\[
= \int_0^t P^0_{t-s} L^1 P^*_s f(x) ds.
\]

Also, we easily see that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_0^t P^0_{t-s} L^1 P^*_s f(x) ds \right) = L^0 \int_0^t P^0_{t-s} L^1 P^*_s f(x) ds + L^1 P^*_t f(x),
\]

and hence, \( F(t, x) := \int_0^t P^0_{t-s} L^1 P^*_s f(x) ds \) satisfies (3.11) with starting condition 0.

3.2 Asymptotic Expansion

Let \( H_{(1)}(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \cdot) : D_\infty \to D_\infty \) be the divergence operator (Malliavin weight) defined by the Bismut identity (pp.247-248 in Malliavin (1997)):

\[
H_{(1)}(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \Psi_t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^d \left[ \zeta^i(t) \int_0^t a^i(s) dW^k_s - \int_0^t D_{s,k} \zeta^i(t) a^i(s) ds \right],
\]

where \( \Psi_t \) is a smooth functional in the Malliavin sense, \( \Psi_t \in D_\infty \), and

\[
\zeta^i(t)^t = (V^*_t(t)^{-1} U^*(t)^{-1} \Psi_t)^t.
\]

The iterated Malliavin weight \( H_k \) is recursively defined as follows:

\[
H_k(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \Psi_t) = H_{(1)}(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, H_k(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \Psi_t)),
\]

with

\[
H_0(X^{(\epsilon)}_t, \Psi_t) \equiv \Psi_t.
\]

The next theorem is our main result in this section.

**Theorem 3.1** Consider the following PDE with its initial condition \( f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \):

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L^* \right) u^*(t, x) = 0,
\]

\[
u^*(0, x) = f(x).
\]

Then, its solution

\[
u^*(t, x) = P^*_t f(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X^{(\epsilon)}_t) \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) p^*(t, x, y) dy,
\]

has an asymptotic expansion in \( \mathbb{R} \):

\[
P^*_t f(x) = \left\{ P^0_t f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j a_j(x) \right\} + O(\epsilon^{N+1}),
\]
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where
\[ a_j(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i} \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_k=j, \beta_i \geq 1} \int_0^t \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t P_{t_{k-1}}^{0} \mathcal{L}_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{t_1}^{\beta_1} f(x) \, dt_k \cdots \, dt_2 \, dt_1 \]

\[ = E \left[ f(X_{t}^{(0),x}) \sum_{k} H_k(X_{t}^{(0),x}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} X_{t_{l}}^{0,\beta_l}) \right] \]

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) w_j(y) p^0(t, x, y) \, dy, \tag{3.22} \]

with \( \mathcal{L}^k := \frac{d^k}{d\epsilon^k} \mathcal{L}^k \big|_{\epsilon=0}, k \in \mathbb{N}, \) and
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i} \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_k=j, \beta_i \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{k!} \right) \]

Here, the so-called Malliavin weight \( H_k(X_{t}^{(0),x}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} X_{t_{l}}^{0,\beta_l}) \) is defined by (3.17) and the push-down of the Malliavin weight \( w_j \in S \) is given by
\[ w_j(y) = E \left[ \sum_{k} H_k(X_{t}^{(0),x}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} X_{t_{l}}^{0,\beta_l}) | X_{t}^{(0),x} = y \right], \tag{3.23} \]

where \( X_{t_{i}}^{(0),k} := \frac{d^k}{d\epsilon^k} X_{t_{i}}^{(\epsilon)} \big|_{\epsilon=0}, k \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \ldots, n. \) Moreover, we obtain a heat kernel expansion in \( \mathbb{R}: \)
\[ p^i(t, x, y) = p^0(t, x, y) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} c^j w_j(y) p^0(t, x, y) + O(\epsilon^{N+1}). \tag{3.24} \]

(Proof)
We can recursively apply the integration by parts in Proposition 3.1
\[ u^i(t, x) := \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \epsilon^j} P_{t}^i f(x) \big|_{\epsilon=0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) w_j(y) p^0(t, x, y) \, dy, \]

where
\[ w_j(y) = E \left[ \sum_{k} H_k(X_{t}^{(0),x}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} X_{t_{l}}^{0,\beta_l}) | X_{t}^{(0),x} = y \right]. \]

Then, we have
\[ P_{t}^i f(x) = P_{t}^0 f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} c^j u^j(t, x) + \epsilon^{N+1} R_N(\epsilon). \]

where the remainder terms \( R_N(\epsilon), \)
\[ R_N(\epsilon) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-v)^N}{N!} E \left[ f(X_{t}^{(\epsilon)}, \prod_{l=1}^{(N+1)} H_k(X_{t}^{(\epsilon)}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} X_{t_{l}}^{(\epsilon),\beta_l})) \right] dv, \]

which satisfies
\[ E[\| R_N(\epsilon) \|] \leq C(T) \| f \|_\infty E[(\det(V_{i}^{(\epsilon)}(t)))^{-\gamma}]^{3} < \infty, \]

for some \( C(T), \gamma, \beta. \) (See P.102 in Nualart (2006) for instance.)
Alternatively, we can recursively obtain the following expression of \(u^i(t, x)\) in the similar way for obtaining (3.16) in the proof of Proposition 3.1:

\[
\frac{d^j}{dx^j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sum_{i_1 + \ldots + i_k = j} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{t_{i_0}}^{t_{i_1}} \ldots \int_{t_{i_k-1}}^{t_{i_k-1}} P_{t_{i_k-1}} L_{i_k} \ldots L_{i_1} P_{t_{i_0}} f(x) dt_{k} \ldots dt_{1} dt.
\]

Also, it is easily seen that \(u^i(t, x)\) satisfies the following equation:

\[
(\partial_{t} - \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}) u^i(t, x) = \mathcal{L}^i u^i(t, x) + \epsilon^{j} u^{i,j}(t, x) + \cdots.
\]

Moreover, if we take a sequence \(\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that \(f_n \in \mathcal{S}, f_n \to \delta_y\) as \(n \to \infty\), we have

\[
P_{t} f_{n}(x) = g'(f_n, p'(t, x, \cdot)) \to g'(\delta_y, p'(t, x, \cdot)) = p'(t, x, y), \quad n \to \infty.
\]

Then, the following heat kernel expansion holds:

\[
p'(t, x, y) = P_{t} f_{n}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \epsilon^{j} w_{j}(y)p^{0}(t, x, y) + O(\epsilon^{N+1}),
\]

Therefore, we obtain the results.

**Remark 3.1** Let us consider the solution of the PDE:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{i} \right) u'(t, x) = 0, \\
u'(T, x) = f(x).
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.25)

Suppose \(u'(t, x)\) is expanded by a perturbation method as

\[
u'(t, x) = u^{0}(t, x) + \epsilon u^{1}(t, x) + \epsilon^{2} u^{2}(t, x) + \cdots.
\]

In order to obtain \(u'(t, x)\), \(i = 0, 1, 2\) for instance, we formally expand the PDE:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{i} \right) \left( u^{0}(t, x) + \epsilon u^{1}(t, x) + \epsilon^{2} u^{2}(t, x) + \cdots \right) = 0,
\]

where \(\mathcal{L}^{i} = \frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial r^{i}}|_{r=0}\).

Then, \(u^{i}(t, x), i = 0, 1, 2\) satisfy the following PDEs:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{0} \right) u^{0}(t, x) = 0, \\
u^{0}(T, x) = f(x),
\end{array} \right. \\
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{1} \right) u^{1}(t, x) = -\mathcal{L}^{1} u^{0}(t, x), \\
u^{1}(T, x) = 0,
\end{array} \right. \\
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{2} \right) u^{2}(t, x) = -\mathcal{L}^{1} u^{1}(t, x) + \mathcal{L}^{2} u^{0}(t, x), \\
u^{2}(T, x) = 0.
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

Theorem 3.1 provides a solution to this problem. We note that the same method can be applied, at least formally to a certain class of non-linear parabolic partial differential equations although Theorem 3.1 explicitly deals with the linear ones. A simple example is as follows:

\[
(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}^c) u^c(t, x) = 0, \quad (t < T); \quad u^c(T, x) = f(x) \quad (3.26)
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^c = \frac{1}{2} \sigma(u^c, \partial_x u^c)^2 \partial_{xx},
\]

\[
\sigma(u^c, \partial_x u^c) = 1 + \epsilon (u^c + \partial_x u^c) \quad (3.27)
\]

In this case, we have

\[
\mathcal{L}^0 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^{1} = \left( u^{0}(t, x) + \partial_{x} u^{0}(t, x) \right) \partial_{xx},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( u^{0}(t, x) + \partial_{x} u^{0}(t, x) \right)^2 + 2(u^{1}(t, x) + \partial_{x} u^{1}(t, x)) \right\} \partial_{xx}.
\]
Hence,

\[
(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx})u^0(t, x) = 0; \quad u^0(T, x) = f(x), \tag{3.29}
\]

\[
(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx})u^1(t, x) = -(u^0(t, x) + \partial_x u^0(t, x))\partial_{xx} u^0(t, x); \quad u^1(T, x) = 0, \tag{3.30}
\]

\[
(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx})u^2(t, x) = -(L^1 u^1(t, x) + L^2 u^0(t, x)); \quad u^2(T, x) = 0. \tag{3.31}
\]

\(u^0(t, x)\) is easily solved by (3.29):

\[
u^0(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} e^{-\frac{(x-z)^2}{2(T-t)}} f(z) dz.
\]

Then, given \(u^0(t, x)\), the right hand side of (3.30) is easily computed and so \(u^1(t, x)\) is solved, too:

\[
u^1(t, x) = E^{t,x} \left[ \int_t^T g(s, W_s) ds \right]
\]

\[= \int_t^T \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(s-t)}} e^{-\frac{(z-x)^2}{2(s-t)}} g(s, z) dz \right) ds,
\]

where

\[
g(s, z) = (u^0(s, z) + \partial_x u^0(s, z))\partial_{xx} u^0(s, z).
\]

Recursively, given \(u^0(t, x)\) and \(u^1(t, x)\), \(u^2(t, x)\) is obtained by (3.31).

Moreover, please see Fujii and Takahashi (2011) for the details, which has developed a new general approximation method for the solutions to the nonlinear PDEs associated with the four step scheme for solving forward backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs).

4 Perturbations around Closed Form Solutions : Application to Vanilla and Barrier Options

In this section, we derive approximation formulas for an option’s vega and price in local/stochastic volatility models using the expansion methods of semi-group developed in Section 3. Hereafter, we use the notation \(\int_T^{T} p(x) dx\) for \(T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)\) and \(p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) meaning that \(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)\).

4.1 Vega Weight

Fournié et al. (1999) derive the greeks weights using Malliavin calculus. In this subsection, we obtain the Malliavin weight for the plain-vanilla option’s Vega (Vega weight) by the Bismut identity and show how to derive the analytic approximation of option price using the Vega weight. Let us consider the following asset price dynamics:

\[
ds_t = \sigma(S_t) dW_t,
\]

where \(S_0\) is a constant and \(\sigma(x) > 0\). We also consider the perturbed diffusion with \(\sigma^{(\epsilon)}(x) = \sigma(x) + \epsilon \tilde{\sigma}(x)\), where \(\tilde{\sigma}(x) = c \cdot \sigma(x)\) for some positive constant \(c\):

\[
ds_t^{(\epsilon)} = \sigma^{(\epsilon)}(S_t^{(\epsilon)}) dW_t,
\]

\[
S_0^{(\epsilon)} = S_0.
\]

Then, the vega of the plain-vanilla (call) option is defined as

\[
\text{vega}^{LV} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} E[(S_T^{(\epsilon)} - K)^+]|_{\epsilon=0}.
\]
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Under appropriate conditions, \( \textit{vega}^{LV} \) is given by

\[
\textit{vega}^{LV} = E \left[ \partial (S_T^{(0)} - K)^+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} S_T^{(\epsilon)} \bigg| \epsilon = 0 \right] = E \left[ (S_T^{(0)} - K)^+ H(1) \left( S_T^{(0)} - K \right)^{\epsilon} \right]
\]

\[
= \int_R (z - K)^+ \vartheta(z) p^{S_0^{(0)}}(T - t, s, z) dz,
\]

where \( H(1) \left( S_T^{(0)} - K \right)^{\epsilon} \) is the Malliavin weight for \( \textit{vega}^{LV} \), \( \vartheta(z) \) is its push-down, and \( p^{S_0^{(0)}}(T - t, s, z) \) is the density function of \( S_t^{(0)} \) given \( S_t^{(0)} = s \).

Hence, a European call option price for its underlying asset price \( S_T^{(\epsilon)} \) with maturity \( T \) and strike \( K \) is approximated as follows:

\[
C^{\epsilon}(T - t, s, K) = E_{(t,s)}[(S_T^{(\epsilon)} - K)^+] \sim \int_R (z - K)^+ p^{S_0^{(0)}}(T - t, s, z) dz + \epsilon \cdot \text{vega}^{LV},
\]

where we assume zero interest and dividend rates.

We illustrate this by using a simple case, \( \sigma^{(\epsilon)}(x) = (\sigma + \epsilon)x \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_t^{(\epsilon)}}{S_t^{(\epsilon)}} &= (\sigma + \epsilon) dW_t, \\
S_0^{(\epsilon)} &= S_0.
\end{align*}
\]

The logarithmic process of \( S_t^{(\epsilon)} \) is given by,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dX_t^{(\epsilon)}}{X_t^{(\epsilon)}} &= (\sigma + \epsilon) dW_t - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + \epsilon)^2 dt, \\
X_0^{(\epsilon)} &= \log S_0.
\end{align*}
\]

The associated partial differential equation is given by

\[
(\partial_t + L)u^{(\epsilon)}(t, x) = 0,
\]

\[
u^{(\epsilon)}(T, x) = f(e^x),
\]

where \( L \) is the generator of \( X_t^{(\epsilon)} \), i.e.

\[
L^* = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma + \epsilon)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right),
\]

and \( f \in C_b^\infty \).

The Vega is calculated in the following way. Let us consider the process,

\[
\begin{align*}
dU_t &= \sigma U_t dW_t, \\
U_t &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

and introduce the process \( a(u) \),

\[
a(u) = U_u^{-1} \sigma S_u^{(0)},
\]

with

\[
S_u^{(0)} = se^{\sigma(W_u - W_t) - \frac{1}{2}(u - t)^2}.
\]

Let \( C(T) \) be the reduced Malliavin covariance,

\[
C(T) := \int_t^T a(u)^2 du = \int_t^T \left( \frac{s}{S_u^{(0)} \sigma S_u^{(0)}} \right)^2 du = (s \sigma)^2 (T - t).
\]
Next, we differentiate the underlying asset price at time $T$ with respect to $\epsilon$ at $\epsilon = 0$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} S_T^{(\epsilon)}|_{\epsilon=0} = S_T^{(0)}(W_T - W_t - \sigma(T-t)).$$

We define the process $\xi^{0,(1)}(t)$ and $\xi^{0,(1)}(t)$ as

$$\xi^{0,(1)}(T) := U_T^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} S_T^{(0)}|_{\epsilon=0} = \frac{1}{S_T^{(0)}} S_T^{(0)}(W_T - W_t - \sigma(T-t)) = s(W_T - W_t - \sigma T),$$

$$\zeta^{0,(1)}(T) := C(T)^{-1} \xi^{0,(1)}(T) = \frac{1}{s\sigma^2(T-t)}(W_T - W_t - \sigma(T-t)).$$

Then, the Malliavin derivative of $\zeta^{0,(1)}(t)$ is given by

$$D_{u_1} \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) = \frac{1}{s\sigma^2(T-t)} 1_{t<u\leq T}.$$  

By the integration by parts derived in section 3.2, Vega is calculated as follows.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} E_{(t,s)}[(S_T^{(\epsilon)} - K)^+]|_{\epsilon=0} = E_{(t,s)} \left[ \left( S_T^{(\epsilon)} - K \right)^+ \left\{ \xi^{0,(1)}(T) \int_t^T a(u) dW_u - \int_t^T D_{u_1} \xi^{0,(1)}(T)a(u) du \right\} \right]$$

$$= E_{(t,s)} \left[ \left( S_T^{(\epsilon)} - K \right)^+ \left\{ \frac{1}{s\sigma^2(T-t)} (W_T - W_t - \sigma(T-t)) \int_t^T s\sigma dW_u - \int_t^T \frac{1}{s\sigma^2(T-t)} s\sigma du \right\} \right]$$

$$= E_{(t,s)} \left[ \left( S_T^{(\epsilon)} - K \right)^+ \left\{ \frac{1}{s\sigma^2(T-t)} (W_T - W_t)^2 - (W_T - W_t) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right\} \right]$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^z - K)^+ E \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma(T-t)} W_{T-t-1} - W_{T-t} - \frac{1}{\sigma} |X_T^{t,x}| = z \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(T-t)}} d\phi \left( \frac{(z-x - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t))^2}{2\pi\sigma^2(T-t)} \right) dz$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^z - K)^+ \phi(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(T-t)}} d\phi \left( \frac{(z-x - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t))^2}{2\pi\sigma^2(T-t)} \right) dz,$$

where

$$\phi(z) := E \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma(T-t)} W_{T-t-1} - W_{T-t} - \frac{1}{\sigma} |X_T^{t,x}| = z \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2(T-t)} \left( z - x + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma} \left( z - x + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t) \right) - \frac{1}{\sigma}. \hspace{1cm} (4.7)$$

Equivalently, we can calculate Vega by differentiating the semi-group. Recall that $\mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)}$ is the generator of $X_T^{(\epsilon)}$,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + \epsilon)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$

We define the differential operators $\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^1$ as follows;

$$\mathcal{L}^0 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{L}^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)}|_{\epsilon=0} = \sigma \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$

Using semi-group theory, the Vega is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} u^{(\epsilon)}(t,x)|_{\epsilon=0} = \int_t^T \mathbb{P}^0_{u-t}\mathcal{L}^1 \mathbb{P}^0_{T-u} f(e^z) du$$
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We obtain the Malliavin weight for the Vega,

\[ \vartheta(z) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2(T-t)} \left( z - x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T-t) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma} \left( z - x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T-t) \right) . \]  

(4.8)

Finally, we remark that the Vega we have just evaluated is equivalent to the well-known Black-Scholes Vega.

### 4.2 Pricing Options under Stochastic Volatility Model

This subsection derives an approximate solution of the partial differential equation (PDE) in stochastic volatility model by a perturbation method. We consider the following stochastic volatility model \((S_t, \sigma_t)\):

\[
\begin{align*}
    dS_t^{(c)} &= \sigma_t^{(c)} \sigma_1^{(c)} dW_{1,t}, \\
    d\sigma_t^{(c)} &= \epsilon \sigma_t^{(c)} (dW_{1,t} + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} dW_{2,t}), \\
    S_0 &= S_0^{(0)} > 0, \\
    \sigma_0 &= \sigma_0^{(0)} > 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.9)
where $\epsilon \in [0,1]$. The purpose of this subsection is to evaluate a European option price:

$$C^{SV}(T-t,s,K) = E_{t,s}[(S_T^{(\epsilon)} - K)^+]$$

given $S_t^{(\epsilon)} = s$.

Let $(X_t^{(\epsilon)})$ denotes the logarithmic process of the underlying asset $(S_t^{(\epsilon)})$. We also define

$$P^{(\epsilon)} f(x) = E[f(X_t^{(\epsilon)})], \quad f \in C^\infty_b,$$

and a generator

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \epsilon \rho \sigma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \sigma} + \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2}.$$

We decompose the generator in three parts, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)} = \mathcal{L}^0 + \epsilon \mathcal{L}^1 + \epsilon^2 \mathcal{L}^2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

$$\mathcal{L}^1 = \rho \sigma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \sigma},$$

$$\mathcal{L}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}_0$ is the (logarithmic) Black-Scholes operator. For $f \in C^\infty_b$, $u^{(\epsilon)}(t,x) = P^{(\epsilon)} f(e^{x})$ satisfies the following PDE:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)} u^{(\epsilon)}(t,x) = 0, \\
u^{(\epsilon)}(T,x) = f(e^{x}).
\end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

Let $(U_u)$ be the first variation process defined by $U_u := \frac{\partial}{\partial s} S_u^{(0)}$, i.e.

$$dU_u = U_u \sigma_u^{(0)} dW_{1,u},$$

$$U_t = 1,$$

and $\mathcal{C}(T)$ be the reduced Malliavin (co)variance of $S_t^{(\epsilon)}$ at $\epsilon = 0$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}(T) = \int_t^T a(u)^2 du,$$

where

$$a(u) = (U_u)^{-1} \sigma_u^{(0)} S_u^{(0)}.$$

We introduce the following expressions:

$$S_{kT}^0 = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \epsilon^k} S_T^{(\epsilon)} |_{\epsilon = 0},$$

$$\xi^{0,(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_k)}(T) = (U_T)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^k S_{\beta_i T}^0,$$

$$\zeta^{0,(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_k)}(T) = \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} \xi^{0,(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_k)}(T),$$

where $\beta_i \geq 1$ satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i = j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq j.$$
The coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the solution to PDE are calculated as following way. First, the limiting (follows from Takahashi and Yamada (2012). The expansion coefficients are obtained by the following way.

By the integration by parts formula,

\[ u \]

Note that, for \( \sigma > 0 \).

Then, following the same way in the proof of Proposition (3.1), we obtain

\[ D_{u,1} \{ f(S_{T}^{0}) \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \} = [D_{u,1} f(S_{T}^{0})] \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) + f(S_{T}^{0}) D_{u,1} \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) . \]

By the integration by parts formula,

\[ E \left[ \int_{t}^{T} \left[ [D_{u,1} f(S_{T}^{0})] \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \right] a(u) du \right] \]

\[ = E \left[ f(e^{X(0)}) \left\{ \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \int_{t}^{T} a(u) dW_{1,u} - \int_{t}^{T} [D_{u,1} \zeta^{0,(1)}(T)] a(u) du \right\} \right] , \]

Theorem 4.1 For \( f \in C_{0}^{\infty} \), we have an asymptotic expansion of the solution to the PDE (4.10):

\[ P_{T-t}^{0} f(\epsilon^{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \epsilon^{j} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{T} \cdots \int_{t}^{T} P_{t_{k}}^{0} \mathcal{L}^{0} \cdots P_{t_{2}}^{0} P_{t}^{0} f(\epsilon^{x}) dt_{k} \cdots dt_{2} dt_{1} + O(\epsilon^{N+1}) = \]

\[ P_{T-t}^{0} f(\epsilon^{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \epsilon^{j} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{T} \cdots \int_{t}^{T} P_{t_{k}}^{0} \mathcal{L}^{0} \cdots P_{t_{2}}^{0} P_{t}^{0} f(\epsilon^{x}) dt_{k} \cdots dt_{2} dt_{1} + O(\epsilon^{N+1}) = \]

where

\[ \sum_{j} = \sum_{\beta_{1} + \cdots + \beta_{k} = \lambda_{j} = 1, k \geq 1} \]

\[ w_{j}(t, T, x, y) = \frac{1}{j!} E_{x}^{0} \left[ \chi^{0,(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k})}(T) \right] \]

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sum_{\beta_{1} + \cdots + \beta_{k} = \lambda_{j} = 1, k \geq 1} \theta_{k}(\zeta^{0,(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k})}(T)) \]

\[ \theta_{1}(\zeta^{0,(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k})}(T)) = \zeta^{0,(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k})}(T) \int_{t}^{T} a(u) dW_{1,u} - \int_{t}^{T} [D_{u,1} \zeta^{0,(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k})}(T)] a(u) du , \]

\[ \theta_{k-1}(\zeta^{0,(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k})}(T)) = \theta_{1} \circ \theta_{k-1}(\zeta^{0,(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k})}(T)) , \]

and \( p^{X(0)}(t, x, y) \) is the transition density of \( X^{(0)} \) and \( P_{0}^{0} \) is the Black-Scholes semigroup with the generator \( \mathcal{L}_{0}^{0} \). (Proof)

Under the condition of \( \sigma_{0} > 0 \), \( P_{T-t}^{0} f(\epsilon^{x}) \) has an asymptotic expansion around \( \epsilon = 0 \). The result follows from Takahashi and Yamada (2012). The expansion coefficients are obtained by the following way. The limiting (\( \epsilon^{0} \)-order) term, \( P_{T-t}^{0} f(\epsilon^{x}) \) is the (logarithmic) Black-Scholes semi-group with the generator \( \mathcal{L}_{0}^{0} \). The coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the solution to PDE are calculated as following way. First,

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} P_{T-t}^{0} f(\epsilon^{x}) \mid_{\epsilon = 0} = E_{(t, s)}[f(S_{T}^{0})]S_{1,T} \]

\[ = E_{(t, s)}[f(S_{T}^{0})]U_{T} \mathcal{C}(T) \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) . \]

By the chain rule of Malliavin calculus, for \( u \in [t, T] \), we have

\[ D_{u,1} f(S_{T}^{0}) = f'(S_{T}^{0}) U_{T} U_{u}^{-1} a_{u}^{0} S_{u}^{0} , \]

\[ D_{u,2} f(S_{T}^{0}) = 0 . \]

Then, following the same way in the proof of Proposition (3.1), we obtain

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} P_{T-t}^{0} f(\epsilon^{x}) \mid_{\epsilon = 0} = E_{(t, s)} \left[ \int_{t}^{T} \left[ [D_{u,1} f(S_{T}^{0})] \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \right] a_{u}(u) du \right] . \]

Note that, for \( u \leq T \),

\[ D_{u,1} \left\{ f(S_{T}^{0}) \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \right\} = [D_{u,1} f(S_{T}^{0})] \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) + f(S_{T}^{0}) D_{u,1} \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) . \]

By the integration by parts formula,

\[ E \left[ \int_{t}^{T} \left( [D_{u,1} f(S_{T}^{0})] \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \right) a_{u}(u) du \right] \]

\[ = E \left[ f(e^{X(0)}) \left\{ \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \int_{t}^{T} a(u) dW_{1,u} - \int_{t}^{T} [D_{u,1} \zeta^{0,(1)}(T)] a(u) du \right\} \right] , \]
and we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} P_{T-t}^\epsilon(f^\epsilon)|_{\epsilon=0} = \int_\mathbb{R} f(e^\epsilon) E \left[ \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \int_t^T a(u) dW_{1,u} - \int_t^T [D_{u,1} \zeta^{0,(1)}(T)] a(u) du | X_T^{0,t,x} = y \right] p^{X_0}(t,x,y) dy.
\]

The higher order approximation terms of the expansion is given as follows;
\[
w^{j}(t,x) := \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \epsilon^j} P_{T-t}^\epsilon(f^\epsilon)|_{\epsilon=0} = \frac{1}{j!} \int_\mathbb{R} f(e^\epsilon) E[\zeta^{0,(j)}(T) | X_T^{0,t,x} = y] p^{X_0}(T-t,x,y) dy,
\]
where \(\zeta^{0,(j)} \in D_\infty\). Then, we obtain an asymptotic expansion formula of the solution to PDE of the stochastic volatility model around the Black-Scholes solution,
\[
P_{T-t}^\epsilon f(e^\epsilon) = P_{T-t}^0 f(e^\epsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon^j w^j(t,x) + O(\epsilon^{N+1}).
\]

\(w^j(t,x)\) satisfies
\[
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^0) w^j(t,x) = -\mathcal{L} w^{j-1}(t,x) - \mathcal{L}^2 w^{j-2}(t,x),
\]
\(w^j(T,x) = 0\).

Therefore, we have
\[
w^j(t,x) = \sum_{i=1}^j \int_t^T \int_{t_{k-1}}^{T} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{T} \cdots \int_{t_{k-1}}^{T} P_{t_{k-j-1}}^0 \mathcal{L}^{k-j} \cdots \mathcal{L}^{k} P_{T-t}^0 f(e^\epsilon) dt_{k} \cdots dt_{2} dt_{1}.
\]

Specifically, Corollary 4.1 below derives the first order approximation formula of European option under the stochastic volatility model.

**Corollary 4.1** The following approximation formula holds.
\[
C^{SV}(T-t, e^\epsilon, K) = C^{BS}(T-t, e^\epsilon, K) + C_1(T-t, e^\epsilon, K) + O(\epsilon^2), \tag{4.11}
\]
where \(C^{BS}(T-t, z, K)\) denotes the Black-Scholes European option price (with time-to-maturity \(T-t\), spot price \(z\) and strike price \(K\)) and
\[
C_1(T-t, e^\epsilon) = \int_\mathbb{R} (e^\epsilon - K)^+ w_1(t,T,x,z)p^{X_0} (T-t,x,z) dz \tag{4.12}
\]
with
\[
w_1(t,T,x,z) = \rho \sigma \frac{(T-t)^2}{2} \left( \frac{(z-x+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T-t))^3}{(\sigma^2(T-t))^3} - 3(z-x+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T-t))^2}{(\sigma^2(T-t))^2} - \frac{(z-x+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T-t))^2}{(\sigma^2(T-t))^2} \right) \right), \]
\[
d_1 = \log(e^\epsilon/K) + \sigma^2(T-t)/\sigma \sqrt{T-t},
\]
\[
d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{T-t},
\]
\[
n(d_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{d_1^2}{2} \right).
\]

(Proof)

By Theorem 4.1,
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} P_{T-t}^\epsilon f(e^\epsilon)|_{\epsilon=0} = \int_t^T P_{t-u}^0 \mathcal{L}^1 P_{T-t}^0 f(e^\epsilon) du
\]
\[
= \int_\mathbb{R} f(e^\epsilon) E \left[ \zeta^{0,(1)}(T) \int_t^T a(u) dW_{1,u} - \int_t^T [D_{u,1} \zeta^{0,(1)}(T)] a(u) du | X_T^{0,t,x} = z \right] p^{X_0}(T-t,x,z) dz.
\]
The conditional expectation above is evaluated as follows:

\[
E \left[ X_T^{0,1}(T) \right] = \frac{\rho \sigma_0 (T-t)^2}{2} \left( \frac{z - x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (T-t)}{\sigma^2 (T-t)^2} - \frac{3(z - x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (T-t)^2)}{\sigma^2 (T-t)^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2 (T-t)} \right).
\]

Equivalently, we can proceed as follows: Note first that

\[
\rho \sigma = \rho \sigma_0 (T-t)^2
\]

\[
\frac{\rho \sigma_n (T-t)^2}{2} \left( \frac{z - x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (T-t)}{\sigma^2 (T-t)^2} - \frac{3(z - x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (T-t)^2)}{\sigma^2 (T-t)^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2 (T-t)} \right).
\]

We also remark that \( L^1 P_{T-u} f(e^\epsilon) \) is closely related to one of the Greeks in Black-Scholes model, \( Vanna \) which is a second order derivative of the option value, once to the underlying spot price and once to volatility. Therefore,

\[
\int_{t}^{T} P_{T-u} L^1 P_{T-u} f(e^\epsilon) du
= \rho \sigma_0 (T-t)^2 \int \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial \sigma_0} P_{T-u} f(e^\epsilon) P_{T-u} x_{0}^0(s - t, x, y) dy du.
\]

Take a sequence \( \{ f_n \} \) such that \( f_n \in S, f_n \to (-K)^+ \) in \( S' \) \( (n \to \infty) \), we have

\[
\int_{R} f_n(e^\epsilon) P_{T-t} x_{0}^0(T - t, x, z) dz \to C^{SV}(T - t, x, K),
\]

\[
\int_{R} f_n(e^\epsilon) P_{T-t} x_{0}^0(T - t, x, z) dz \to C^{BS}(T - t, e^\epsilon, K),
\]

\[
\int_{R} f_n(e^\epsilon) w_1(t, T, x, z) P_{T-t} x_{0}^0(T - t, x, z) dz \to S'((e^\epsilon - K)^+, w_1(t, T, x, \cdot) P_{T-t} x_{0}^0(T - t, x, \cdot)),
\]

as \( n \to \infty \).

Then, in sum, we obtain

\[
C^{SV}(T - t, e^\epsilon, K) = C^{BS}(T - t, e^\epsilon, K) + \epsilon \rho \sigma_0 (T-t)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) C^{BS}(T - t, x, K) + O(\epsilon^2)
\]

\[
= C^{BS}(T - t, e^\epsilon, K) + \epsilon \rho \sigma_0 (T-t)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) C^{BS}(T - t, x, K) + O(\epsilon^2)
\]

\[
= C^{BS}(T - t, e^\epsilon, K) + \epsilon \int_{R} (e^\epsilon - K)^+ w_1(t, T, x, z) P_{T-t} x_{0}^0(T - t, x, z) dz + O(\epsilon^2)
\]

4.3 Barrier Option under Stochastic Volatility Model

In this subsection, we deal with a barrier option of which value is given under a risk-neutral probability measure as

\[
C_B(T - t, s_0, K) = E_{(t, s_0)} \left[ f \left( S^{(c)}_T \right) 1_{\{s^c > T\}} \right],
\]

(4.13)
where \( f(\cdot) \) stands for a discounted payoff function, \( S_t^{(i)} \) denotes an underlying asset value at \( T \) and \( \tau^* \) is the first hitting time of the region \( \{ x : x \geq B \} \), i.e., \( \tau^* = \inf \{ t; S_t^{(i)} = B \} \). We cannot directly apply the Malliavin calculus to the above because Malliavin derivative \( D \tau^* \) does not exist (see Remark 2.2 in Fournié et al. (2001)). However, according to the PDE method developed in Section 4.2 we can obtain the expansion coefficients by differentiating (4.13) with respect to \( \epsilon \), that is,

\[
C_i(T - t, s, K) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} E_{(t, s)} \left[ f \left( S_t^{(i)} \right) 1_{\{ \tau > T \}} \right].
\]  

(4.14) is regarded as an extension of the plain-vanilla option case.

This section shows how to derive a new formula for the down-and-out call option prices; the same method can be applied to the other types of barrier options such as the up-and-out option.

**4.3.1 \( \lambda \)-SABR with \( \beta = 1 \)**

We will derive an approximation formula for the down-and-out call option price under \( \lambda \)-SABR model with \( \beta = 1 \). That is, suppose that the risk-neutral dynamics of the underlying asset price is given as the following: for \( \epsilon \in (0, 1] \),

\[
dS_t^{(i)} = \alpha \sigma t S_t^{(i)} dt + \sigma_t^{(i)} dW_t^1; \quad S_0 = s_0,
\]

\[
d\sigma_t^{(i)} = \epsilon \lambda (\theta - \sigma_t^{(i)}) dt + \epsilon \alpha (\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2); \quad \sigma_0^{(i)} = \sigma_0,
\]

where \( \alpha \) is a constant, and \( \lambda \) and \( \theta \) are positive constants. Let \( X = \log S \) and then,

\[
dX_t^{i} = \left( \epsilon \alpha - \frac{(\sigma_t^{(i)})^2}{2} \right) dt + \sigma_t^{(i)} dW_t^1; \quad X_0^{i} = x
\]

\[
d\sigma_t^{(i)} = \epsilon \lambda (\theta - \sigma_t^{(i)}) dt + \epsilon \alpha (\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2); \quad \sigma_0^{(i)} = \sigma_0.
\]

The associated generator, \( \mathcal{L}^{*} \) and the partial differential equation for the down-and-out call option price with barrier price \( B(< S_0) \), maturity \( T \) and strike \( K \) are obtained as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L}^{*} = \frac{(\sigma_t^{(i)})^2}{2} \partial_{xx} + \epsilon \rho (\sigma_t^{(i)})^2 \partial_{xx} + \epsilon^2 \frac{(\sigma_t^{(i)})^2}{2} \partial_{xx} + \left( \epsilon \alpha - \frac{(\sigma_t^{(i)})^2}{2} \right) \partial_x + \epsilon \lambda (\theta - \sigma_t^{(i)}) \partial_x,
\]

(4.18)

\[
(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}^{*}) u^* = 0; \quad (0 \leq t < T, \ x > B \equiv \log B)
\]

(4.19)

\[
u^*(T, x) = f(e^x) = (e^x - K)^+,
\]

(4.20)

\[
u^*(t, b) = 0,
\]

(4.21)

where we assume that the risk-free interest rate is zero without loss of generality: for the case of a nonzero constant interest rate \( r \), the same derivation is applied if we replace \( u^*(t, x) \) and \( f(e^x) \) by \( u^r(t, x) := e^{-rt} u^*(t, x) \) and \( f(e^x) := e^{-rt} f(e^x) \), respectively.

Next, note that \( \sigma_t^{(i)} = \sigma_0 \), and \( X_0^{0} \equiv X_{0, i} |_{\epsilon=0} \) follows

\[
dX_t^{0} = - \frac{(\sigma_0)^2}{2} dt + \sigma_0 dW_t^1; \quad X_0^{0} = x.
\]

(4.22)

The associated generator with \( X_0^{0} \) is

\[
\mathcal{L}^{0} = \frac{(\sigma_0)^2}{2} \partial_{xx} - \frac{(\sigma_0)^2}{2} \partial_x,
\]

(4.23)

The expansion up to the first order of the PDE related to the down-and-out barrier option price is obtained by a formal expansion;

\[
(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}^{0} + \epsilon \mathcal{L}^{1} + \cdots) (u^0 + \epsilon u^1 + \cdots) = 0,
\]

(4.24)

with appropriate boundary conditions for each order as follows:

\[
(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}^{0}) u^0 = 0,
\]

(4.25)

\[
u^0(T, x) = f(e^x),
\]

(4.26)

\[
u^0(t, b) = 0,
\]

(4.27)
Hereafter, we will use an abbreviated notation

\[ C \]

where

\[ \epsilon \]

down-and-out call price under Black-Scholes model (\( \sigma \), volatility \( \sigma \), interest rate \( r \) , time-to-maturity \( T-t, z, \sigma, r, \delta, K \)), denotes the Black-Scholes price with time-to-maturity \( t, x \)

\[ C_{BS}(t, x) = C_{BS}(T-t, e^{z}, \sigma, 0, 0, K) = \left( \frac{e^{z}}{T} \right) C_{BS}(T-t, B^2, e^{z}, 0, 0, K), \]

where \( C_{BS}(T-t, z, \sigma, r, \delta, K) \) denotes the Black-Scholes price with time-to-maturity \( T-t \), spot price \( z \), volatility \( \sigma \), interest rate \( r \), dividend yield \( \delta = r - \alpha \) and strike price \( K \):

\[ C_{BS}(T-t, z, \sigma, r, \delta, K) = e^{-\delta(T-t)}zN(d_1(z)) - e^{-r(T-t)}KN(d_2(z)), \]

where

\[ N(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{y} e^{-y^2/2}dy, \]

\[ d_1(z) = \frac{\log(z/K) + \alpha(T-t) + \sigma^2}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}, \]

\[ d_2(z) = d_1(z) - \sigma\sqrt{T-t}. \]

Hereafter, we will use an abbreviated notation \( C_{BS}(T-t, z, \sigma, K) \) for \( C_{BS}(T-t, z, \sigma, 0, 0, K) \).

Note that

\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u^0(t, x) & = \sigma_0(T-t) \left( \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) u^0(t, x), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u^0(t, x) & = \sigma_0(T-t) \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) u^0(t, x).
\end{align*}

Thus,

\[ \mathcal{L}^1 u^0(t, x) = \rho \sigma_0^2(T-t) \left( \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) u^0(t, x) \]

\[ + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u^0(t, x), \]

\[ + \lambda(\theta - \sigma_0) \sigma_0(T-t) \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) u^0(t, x). \]

Next, let

\[ g(t, x) := \frac{(T-t)}{2} \rho_0 \sigma_0^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u^0(t, x) \]

\[ + (T-t)\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u^0(t, x), \]

\[ + \frac{(T-t)}{2} \lambda(\theta - \sigma_0) \sigma_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u^0(t, x) \]

\[ + \frac{(T-t)^2}{2} \rho_0 \sigma_0^2 \left( \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) u^0(t, x) \]

\[ + (T-t)\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u^0(t, x) \]

\[ + \frac{(T-t)^2}{2} \lambda(\theta - \sigma_0) \sigma_0 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) u^0(t, x). \]
Then, define
\[ \hat{u}^1(t, x) := u^1(t, x) - g(t, x). \] (4.48)

Hence, we have
\[
(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}^0)\hat{u}^1(t, x) = 0; \quad x > b, \ t < T, \\
\hat{u}^1(T, x) = 0, \\
\hat{u}^1(t, b) = -g(t, b) \\
= -\frac{(T-t)}{2}\rho^2_0 \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \sigma_0} u^0(t, x) \right|_{x=b} \\
- (T-t)\alpha \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u^0(t, x) \right|_{x=b} \\
- \frac{(T-t)}{2}\lambda(\theta - \sigma_0) \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_0} u^0(t, x) \right|_{x=b}. 
\] (4.51)

Then, \( \hat{u}^1(t, x) \) is obtained by
\[
\hat{u}^1(t, x) = -\int_t^T g(s, b) h(s; x, b) ds, 
\] (4.54)
where \( h(s; x, b) \) is the density function of the first hitting time to \( b \):
\[
h(s; x, b) = \frac{-(b-x)}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_0^2 (s-t)^2}} \exp \left( \frac{-(x - \sigma_0^2/2(b-s))^2}{2\sigma_0^2(s-t)} \right). 
\] (4.55)

Therefore,
\[
u^1(t, x) = \hat{u}^1(t, x) + g(t, x). \] (4.56)

Hereafter, we will evaluate \( g(t, x) \), more explicitly.

Note first the following relations:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ C^{BS}(T-t, e^x, \sigma_0, K) \right] = e^x N(d_1(x)), 
\] (4.57)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{e^x}{B} \right) C^{BS}(T-t, \frac{B^2}{e^x}, \sigma_0, K) = \left( \frac{e^x}{B} \right) C^{BS}(T-t, \frac{B^2}{e^x}, \sigma_0, K) - BN(c_1(x)), 
\] (4.58)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_0} \left[ C^{BS}(T-t, e^x, \sigma_0, K) \right] = e^x n(d_1(x)) \sqrt{T-t}, 
\] (4.59)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_0} \left( \frac{e^x}{B} \right) C^{BS}(T-t, \frac{B^2}{e^x}, \sigma_0, K) = Bn(c_1(x)) \sqrt{T-t}, 
\] (4.60)
\[
\rho(\sigma_0)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \sigma_0} C^{BS}(T-t, e^x, \sigma_0, K) = \rho(\sigma_0)^2 e^x n(d_1(x)) \sqrt{T-t} \left\{ 1 + \frac{-d_1(x)}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}} \right\} \\
= \rho(\sigma_0)^2 e^x n(d_1(x)) (-d_2(x)) \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \\
= \rho(\sigma_0)^2 e^x n(d_1(x)) (-d_2(x)), 
\] (4.61)
\[
\rho(\sigma_0)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \sigma_0} \left( \frac{e^x}{B} \right) C^{BS}(T-t, \frac{B^2}{e^x}, \sigma_0, K) = \rho(\sigma_0)^2 Bn(c_1(x)) (-c_1(x)) \frac{-1}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}} \sqrt{T-t} \\
= \rho(\sigma_0)^2 Bn(c_1(x)) (-c_1(x)) \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \\
= \rho(\sigma_0) Bn(c_1(x)) (c_1(x)), 
\] (4.62)
where
\[
n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2} \right), 
\] (4.63)
\[
d_1(x) = \log(e^x/K) + \sigma_0^2 (T-t)/2 \sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}. 
\] (4.64)
\[ d_2(x) = d_1(x) - \sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}, \quad (4.65) \]
\[ c_1(x) = \frac{\ln \left( B^2 / e^x K \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (T-t)/2}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}}. \quad (4.66) \]

Then,
\[
g(t, x) = (T-t)\alpha \left[ \epsilon^x N(d_1(x)) - \left( \frac{e^x}{B} \right) C^{BS} \left( T-t, \frac{B^2}{e^x}, \sigma_0, K \right) + BN(c_1(x)) \right], \quad (4.67)\]
\[
+ \frac{(T-t)}{2} \lambda(\theta - \sigma_0) \left( \epsilon^x n(d_1(x)) g(t, B, \sigma_0, K) \right) + \lambda(\theta - \sigma_0) \left( \epsilon^x n(d_1(x)) g(T-t, B, \sigma_0, K) \right)
\]
\[
- \frac{(T-t)}{2} \rho \sigma_0 \left\{ \epsilon^x (d_1(x)) d_2(x) + BN(c_1(x))c_1(x) \right\}. \quad (4.69)\]

\[
g(t, b) = (T-t)\alpha \left[ BN(d_1(b)) - \left( \frac{B}{B} \right) C^{BS} \left( T-t, \frac{B^2}{B}, \sigma_0, K \right) + BN(d_1(b)) \right], \quad (4.70)\]
\[
+ \frac{(T-t)}{2} \lambda(\theta - \sigma_0) \left( BN(d_1(b)) \sqrt{T-t} - BN(d_1(b)) \sqrt{T-t} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{(T-t)}{2} \rho \sigma_0 BN(d_1(b)) \left\{-[d_1(b) + d_2(b)] \right\}
\]
\[
= \alpha(T-t) \left[ 2BN(d_1(b)) - C^{BS} (T-t, B, \sigma_0, K) \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{(T-t)}{2} \rho \sigma_0 B \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{(b - \log K + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (T-t))^2}{2\sigma_0^2 (T-t)} \right) \frac{-2(b - \log K)}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}} \right), \quad (4.74)\]

where
\[
d_1(b) = c_1(b) = \frac{\log(e^b / K)}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t} \quad (4.75)\]
\[
d_2(b) = \frac{\log(e^b / K)}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}. \quad (4.76)\]

In particular, if the drifts of the underlying asset and its volatility processes are zero, that is \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \lambda = 0 \), we have

\[
\hat{u}_1(t, x) = -\int_t^T g(t, s, b) h(s, x, b) ds \]
\[
= -\int_t^T \frac{(T-s)}{2} \rho \sigma_0 B \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{(b - \log K + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (T-t))^2}{2\sigma_0^2 (T-t)} \right) \frac{-2(b - \log K)}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{T-t}} \right) ds \]
\[
\times \frac{-(b - x) - (b - x) + (0 - x)^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} (x - t)^3} \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma_0^2 (x - t)^3} ds \]
\[
= -\rho \sigma_0 B \frac{\log(B/K)(\log(B/S))}{\pi \sigma_0^2}
\]
\[
\times \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T (T-s)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(s-t)^{3/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\log B/K + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (T-t)}{2\sigma_0^2 (T-t)} \right) e \frac{\log B/K + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (T-t)}{2\sigma_0^2 (T-t)} ds, \quad (4.77)\]

and

\[
u_1(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} (T-t) \rho \sigma_0 \left\{ \epsilon^x n(d_1(x))(-d_2(x)) - BN(c_1(x))c_1(x) \right\}
\]
\[
-\rho \sigma_0 B \frac{\log(B/K)(\log(B/S))}{\pi \sigma_0^2}
\]
\[
\times \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T (T-s)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(s-t)^{3/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\log B/K + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (T-t)}{2\sigma_0^2 (T-t)} \right) e \frac{\log B/K + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (T-t)}{2\sigma_0^2 (T-t)} ds. \]
Finally, we show a simple numerical example of European down-and-out barrier call prices as an illustrative purpose. Let $f(x) = (e^x - K)^+$, and the parameters of the model are specified as follows:

$$S_0 = 100, \ r = \alpha = \lambda = 0, \ \sigma_0 = 15\%, \ \epsilon = 20\%, \ \rho = -0.5,$$

$$B(barrier) = 95, \ T = 0.5, \ K = 100, 102, 105.$$ 

Then, the result is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strike</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Our Approximation</th>
<th>Barrier Black-Scholes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.261</td>
<td>3.258 (-0.09%)</td>
<td>3.290 (0.90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>2.640</td>
<td>2.639 (-0.02%)</td>
<td>2.686 (1.78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.841</td>
<td>1.841 (0.01%)</td>
<td>1.911 (3.77%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, the numbers in the parentheses show the error rates (%) relative to the benchmark prices, which are computed by Monte Carlo simulations with 100,000 time steps and 1,000,000 trials. Apparently, our approximation formula improves the accuracies comparing with the Black-Scholes barrier formula.

5 Short-Time Heat Kernel Asymptotic Expansion

This section derives a short-time asymptotic expansion under multi-dimensional diffusion setting: in particular, the expansion formula developed in Theorem 3.1 is effectively applied.

Consider the following SDE on $\mathbb{R}^n$ over the $d$-dimensional Wiener space $(\mathcal{W}, P)$.

$$dX^i_t = \sum_{k=1}^d V^i_k(X_t) \circ dW^k_t + V^i_0(X_t)dt,$$

$$X^i_0 = x^i_0 \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

where $V_k = (V^1_k, \ldots, V^n_k)$ with $V^i_k \in C^\infty_b$. We assume that $\sigma(x) = [\sigma^{ij}(x)]$ where $\sigma^{ij}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^d V^i_k(x)V^j_k(x)$ is positive definite at $x = x_0$. We also define $\hat{V}_k$ as

$$\hat{V}_k = \sum_{i=1}^n V^i_k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, d.$$ 

and

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d \hat{V}^2_k + \hat{V}_0.$$ 

Let $i = (i_1, \ldots, i_m) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}^m$, we set $\alpha(i) = \#\{i : i_l = 0\}$ and $||i|| = \alpha(i) + m$. The following stochastic Taylor expansion holds (e.g. p.4 in Baudoin (2009)):

$$X_t = x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{|i|=k, i_l = 0} \hat{V}_{i_k} \circ \cdots \circ \hat{V}_{i_2} [V_{i_1}] (x_0) \int_0^t \circ dW^{i_1}_{t_1} \circ \int_0^{t_1} \circ dW^{i_2}_{t_2} \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \circ dW^{i_m}_{t_m} + R_N(t, x),$$

for some remainder term $R_N(t, x)$ which satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} E[|R_N(t, x)|^2]^{1/2} \leq C_N t^{(N+1)/2} \sup_{i, k : \alpha(i) = N + 1 \alpha(k) = 2} \|\hat{V}_{i_k} \circ \cdots \circ \hat{V}_{i_2} [V_{i_1}]\|_\infty.$$ 

(5.4)
We first consider the scaling SDE in order to obtain a short-time heat kernel expansion:

\[
dX^t_i = \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^d V_k(X^t_i) \circ dW^k_i + \epsilon^2 V_0(X^t_i) dt, \quad (5.6)
\]

\[
X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

where \( \epsilon \in (0, 1] \). Note that \( X^t_i \) is equivalent in law to \( X^{(2)}_{t,i} \), i.e.

\[
X^t_i \sim \epsilon X^{(1)}_{t,i},
\]

and that \( X^t_i \) has an asymptotic expansion:

\[
X^t_i \sim x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \epsilon^k X^{(k)}_1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n),
\]

where \( X^{(k)}_1 = (X^{(k)}_{11}, \ldots, X^{(k)}_{nn}) \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) is expressed as the coefficient in the stochastic Taylor expansion at \( t = 1 \), i.e.

\[
X^{(k)}_1 = \sum_{1 \leq |i| = k} (\hat{V}_{i,m} \circ \cdots \circ \hat{V}_{i,2} \circ \hat{V}_{i,1}) (x_0) \int_0^1 \circ dW^i_1 \circ \int_0^1 \circ dW^i_2 \cdots \int_0^1 \circ dW^i_m. \quad (5.7)
\]

Next, set

\[
Y^{1/\sqrt{t}} = f_{\sqrt{t}}(X^{1/\sqrt{t}}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (X^{1/\sqrt{t}} - x_0). \quad (5.8)
\]

Then, we have

\[
p^X(t, x_0, x) = p^{X^{1/\sqrt{t}}}(1, x_0, x) = p^{Y^{1/\sqrt{t}}}(1, 0, \frac{x - x_0}{t^{1/2}}) t^{-n/2}. \quad (5.9)
\]

Note also that the \((i,j)\)-element of the Malliavin covariance matrix of \( Y^0_1 = \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^1 V_k(x_0) \circ dW^k_t \) is given as:

\[
\sigma^{ij}_{Y^0_1} = \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^1 D_{i,k} Y^0_1 D_{j,k} Y^0_{i,k} dt
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^d V^k_i(x_0) V^j_i(x_0) = \sigma^{ij}(x_0). \quad (5.10)
\]

Since \( Y^{1/\sqrt{t}} \) is uniformly non-degenerate by the assumption that \( \sigma(x_0) \) is positive definite, the smooth density, \( p^{Y^{1/\sqrt{t}}}(1, y_0, y) \) for the law of \( Y^{1/\sqrt{t}} \) exists.

Thus, \( p^{Y^{1/\sqrt{t}}}(1, y_0, y) \) has an asymptotic expansion by setting \( \epsilon = \sqrt{t} \) for \( Y^t \), where

\[
Y^t_1 := \frac{(X^t_1 - x_0)}{\epsilon} \sim \sum_{i=1}^\infty \epsilon^{i-1} X^{(i)}_1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (5.11)
\]

In particular,

\[
Y^0_1 = X^{(1)}_1 = \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^1 V_k(x_0) \circ dW^k_t. \quad (5.12)
\]

Let \( Y^0_i \) denote the \( i \)-th element of \( Y^0_1 \), that is \( Y^0_i = (Y^0_{1i}, Y^0_{2i}, \cdots, Y^0_{ni}) \), and define \( Y^{0,k}_{i1}, k \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \cdots, n \) as

\[
Y^{0,k}_{i1} = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \epsilon^k} Y^0_{i1} |_{\epsilon = 0} = X^{(k+1)}_{i1}. \quad (5.13)
\]

Then, applying Theorem 3.1 especially, (3.24), we obtain an asymptotic expansion of \( p^{Y^t}(1, 0, y) \):

\[
p^{Y^t}(1, 0, y) = p^{Y^0}(1, 0, y) \left( \sum_{j=0}^N \epsilon^j \sum_k E[H_k(Y^0_1, \prod_{i=1}^k Y^{0,3i}) | Y^0_1 = y] \right) + O(\epsilon^{N+1}), \quad (5.14)
\]
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where
\[ \sum_{k}^{(j)} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{j} \beta_{1} \cdots \beta_{k} = j, \beta_{1}, \beta_{1} \geq 1 \]

Here, it is easily seen that the density of \( Y_{1}^{0} \) is given by
\[ p_{Y_{1}^{0}}(1,0,y) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} \det(\sigma(x_{0}))^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{y^{T} \sigma(x_{0})^{-1} y}{2}}. \tag{5.15} \]
where \( \sigma(x_{0}) = (\sum_{k=1}^{d} V_{k}^{2}(x_{0})V_{k}^{2}(x_{0}))_{(1 \leq i, j \leq n)} \).

Consequently, by (5.9), we obtain the following theorem that presents a short-time off-diagonal heat kernel expansion.

**Theorem 5.1** As \( t \downarrow 0 \), we have a short-time asymptotic expansion of the density \( p_{X}(t,x_{0},x) \):
\[ p_{X}(t,x_{0},x) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} \det(\sigma(x_{0}))^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(x-x_{0})^{T} \sigma(x_{0})^{-1} (x-x_{0})}{2t}} \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^{j/2} \zeta_{j} \left( t^{-1/2}(x - x_{0}) \right), \tag{5.16} \]
where \( \sigma(x_{0}) = (\sum_{k=1}^{d} V_{k}^{2}(x_{0})V_{k}^{2}(x_{0}))_{(1 \leq i, j \leq n)} \), and \( \zeta_{j} \left( t^{-1/2}(x - x_{0}) \right) \) is the \( j \)-th push-down of the Malliavin weights (\( j \)-th PDE weights in Malliavin-Thalmaier (2006)) defined by
\[ \zeta_{j} \left( t^{-1/2}(x - x_{0}) \right) = \sum_{k}^{(j)} E \left[ H_{k}(X_{1}^{(1)}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} X_{l=0}^{(1)})|X_{1}^{(1)} = t^{-1/2}(x - x_{0}) \right] = \sum_{k}^{(j)} E \left[ H_{k}(Y_{1}^{0}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} Y_{l=0}^{0})|Y_{1}^{0} = t^{-1/2}(x - x_{0}) \right]. \tag{5.17} \]

Here, \( Y_{1}^{0} \) and \( Y_{1}^{0,k} \) are given by (5.12) and (5.13), respectively, and \( X_{1}^{(1)} \) and \( X_{1}^{(k)} \) are given by
\[ X_{1}^{(1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} V_{k}(x_{0}) \circ dW_{t}^{i}, \tag{5.18} \]
\[ X_{1}^{(k)} = \sum_{1, |i|=k} \left( \hat{V}_{m} \cdots \hat{V}_{2} \right)(V_{1}^{i})(x_{0}) \int_{0}^{t} \circ dW_{t}^{i} \circ \int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t^{m-1}} \circ dW_{t}^{m}. \tag{5.19} \]

Also,
\[ \sum_{k}^{(j)} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{j} \beta_{1} \cdots \beta_{k} = j, \beta_{1}, \beta_{1} \geq 2 \]

and
\[ \sum_{k}^{(j)} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{j} \beta_{1} \cdots \beta_{k} = j, \beta_{1}, \beta_{1} \geq 1 \]

**Remark 5.1** In the diagonal case, the diagonal heat kernel \( p_{X}(t,x_{0},x_{0}) \) is approximated by
\[ \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} \det(\sigma(x_{0}))^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^{j} \zeta_{j}(0), \tag{5.20} \]
where
\[ \zeta_{j}(0) = \sum_{k}^{(j)} E \left[ H_{k}(X_{1}^{(1)}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} X_{l=0}^{(1)})|X_{1}^{(1)} = 0 \right] = \sum_{k}^{(j)} E \left[ H_{k}(Y_{1}^{0}, \prod_{l=1}^{k} Y_{l=0}^{0})|Y_{1}^{0} = 0 \right]. \tag{5.21} \]
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Next, we provide alternative methods to obtain the coefficients of the expansion.

Let $A$ be the perturbed generator associated with (5.6):

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} (\epsilon V_k)^2 + \epsilon^2 V_0.$$  

Then, the generator $L^*$ associated with the process after the transformation, $Y_t^* = \frac{X_t^* - x_0}{\epsilon}$ is given by

$$L^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} (L^*_k)^2 + \epsilon L^*_0.$$  

where

$$L^*_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V^*_a(x_0 + \epsilon y_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, d. \quad (5.24)$$

Hence, by applying (3.21) in Theorem 3.1, we have for $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$P_t^* f(0) = P_t^0 f(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \epsilon^j \xi_j(y) + \epsilon^{N+1} R_N(y), \quad (5.25)$$

where

$$\xi_j(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_k = j, j_i \geq 1} \int_{0}^{t_1} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_k} P^{0}_{(t-t_2)} L^{\beta_1} P^{0}_{(t_1-t_2)} L^{\beta_2} \cdots P^{0}_{(t_k-t_{k-1})} L^{\beta_k} P^{0}_{t_k} f(y_0) dt_k \cdots dt_2 dt_1 |_{y_0 = 0}, \quad (5.26)$$

with $L^k := \frac{1}{\epsilon^k} \frac{d}{dt^k} L^*|_{t=0}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

6 Applications of Short-Time Asymptotic Expansion

This section shows three examples of Theorem 5.1 in the previous section. In particular, we explicitly derive short-time asymptotic expansions under stochastic volatility model with log-normal local volatility and general local-stochastic volatility models. Moreover, we applies (5.17) and (5.26) in Section 4 to computing the coefficients in the expansions. In addition, for local volatility model in Section 6.1 and Appendix, we compute the expansion coefficients $\xi_i(y)(i \in \mathbb{N})$, $j = 1, 2$ in (5.26) by using Lie brackets. (Lie bracket $[A, Z]$ stands for $[A, Z] = AZ -ZA$ where $A$ and $Z$ are vector fields.)

6.1 Short-Time Asymptotic Expansion for Local Volatility Model

Consider the following time-homogenous local volatility model.

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad (6.1)$$

$$X_0 = x_0.$$ 

Proposition 6.1 We $t \downarrow 0$, we have

$$p(t, x_0, x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma(x_0)t^2} \exp \left\{ \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{\sigma(x_0)^2 t} \right\} \left( 1 + \sqrt{t} \vartheta_1(t, x_0, x) + t \vartheta_2(t, x_0, x) \right) \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$\vartheta_1(t, x_0, x) = \mu(x_0) \frac{h_1((x - x_0) / \sqrt{t}, \sigma^2(x_0))}{\sigma(x_0)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial_1 \sigma(x_0) \frac{h_3((x - x_0) / \sqrt{t}, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma(x_0)^2)^3}, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\vartheta_2(t, x_0, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma(x_0)t^2} \exp \left\{ \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{\sigma(x_0)^2 t} \right\} \left( 1 + \sqrt{t} \vartheta_1(t, x_0, x) + t \vartheta_2(t, x_0, x) \right) \quad (6.2)$$

with $L^k := \frac{1}{\epsilon^k} \frac{d}{dt^k} L^*|_{t=0}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. 
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and

\[ \vartheta(t, x_0, x) = 1 + \frac{1}{8} \partial \sigma(x_0) \sigma(x_0)^3 \frac{h_n((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^3} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \partial^2 \sigma(x_0) \sigma(x_0)^5 + 4 \partial \sigma(x_0)^3 \sigma(x_0) + 3 \mu(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) \right) \frac{h_4((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \partial^2 \sigma(x_0) \sigma(x_0)^3 + 2 \mu(x_0) \sigma(x_0)^2 + 2 \mu(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) \sigma(x_0) + \partial \sigma(x_0)^2 \sigma(x_0)^2 + 2 \mu(x_0)^2 \right) \frac{h_2((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^2}. \] 

(6.4)

Here, \( h_n(x, \Sigma) \) stands for the Hermite polynomial of degree \( n \) with \( \Sigma \), that is

\[ h_n(x, \Sigma) = (-\Sigma)^n e^{x^2/(2\Sigma)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/(2\Sigma)}. \]

**Proof 6.1** We apply (5.17) and (5.26) in computation of the coefficients of the expansion. First, we have the following stochastic Taylor expansion

\[ X_t = x_0 + X_{1t} + X_{2t} + X_{3t} + R_3(t), \]

(6.5)

where

\[ \begin{align*}
X_{1t} &= \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) dW_s, \\
X_{2t} &= \int_0^t \mu(x_0) ds + \int_0^t \partial \sigma(x_0) \int_0^s \sigma(x_0) dW_u dW_s, \\
X_{3t} &= \int_0^t \partial \mu(x_0) \int_0^s \sigma(x_0) dW_u ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \partial^2 \sigma(x_0) \left( \int_0^s \sigma(x_0) dW_u \right)^2 dW_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \partial \sigma(x_0) \int_0^s \mu(x_0) dudW_s + \int_0^t \partial \sigma(x_0) \int_0^s \partial \sigma(x_0) \int_0^u \sigma(x_0) dW_u dW_s,
\end{align*} \]

and \( R_3(t) \) is a remainder term.

Let \( X^*_t \) be the solution of the following scaling SDE.

\[ \begin{align*}
&dX^*_t = \epsilon^2 \mu(X^*_t) dt + \epsilon \sigma(X^*_t) dW_t, \\
&X^*_0 = x_0.
\end{align*} \]

Let \( \mathcal{A}^* \) be the generator of \( X^*_t \) defined by

\[ \mathcal{A}^* = \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \epsilon^2 \mu(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \]

Consider a transform

\[ Y^*_t = f_\epsilon(X^*_t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (X^*_t - x_0), \]

(6.6)

then the generator \( \mathcal{L}^* \) of \( Y^*_t \) has the following form

\[ \mathcal{L}^* = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x + \epsilon y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \epsilon \mu(x + \epsilon y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \]

First, we apply the push-down of the Malliavin weights to computing the coefficients of the expansion. Note that \( X^*_t \) and \( Y^*_t \) are expanded in \( \mathbb{D}_\infty \) as follows.

\[ \begin{align*}
X^*_t &= x_0 + \epsilon X_{1t} + \epsilon^2 X_{2t} + \epsilon^3 X_{3t} + O(\epsilon^4), \\
Y^*_t &= Y_{0t} + \epsilon Y_{1t} + \epsilon^2 Y_{2t} + O(\epsilon^3).
\end{align*} \]
where

\[ Y_{1t} = X_{1t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} X_{1}^{(\epsilon)}|_{\epsilon = 0} = \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(x) dW_s, \]

\[ Y_{2t} = X_{2t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \epsilon^2} X_{1}^{(\epsilon)}|_{\epsilon = 0} \]

\[ = \int_{0}^{t} \mu(x) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \partial \sigma(x) \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(x) dW_u dW_s. \]

\[ Y_{3t} = X_{3t} = \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \epsilon^3} X_{1}^{(\epsilon)}|_{\epsilon = 0} \]

\[ = \int_{0}^{t} \partial \mu(x) \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(x) dW_u ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \partial^2 \sigma(x) \left( \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(x) dW_u \right)^2 dW_s \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{t} \partial \sigma(x) \int_{0}^{t} \mu(x) dW_u + \int_{0}^{t} \partial \sigma(x) \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(x) dW_u dW_s. \]

Note that \( Y_{1t} \) is uniformly non-degenerate.

The following relation holds,

\[ p^X(t, x_0, x) = p^Y(1, f_{\mathcal{T}}(x_0), f_{\mathcal{T}}(x)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}. \]  

(6.7)

1. Using Bismut identity,

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} E \left[ Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \right] |_{\epsilon = 0} = \delta_y(\cdot) \] (\( \delta_y(\cdot) \) is a delta function at \( y \)).

\[ = E \left[ \delta_y \left( Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \right) H_1 \left( Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \right) \right] \]

\[ = E \left[ \delta_y \left( Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \right) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(x)} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \right\} |_{\epsilon = 0} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(x) dW_u - \int_{0}^{t} D_{1, u} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \right\} \]

\[ = E \left[ \delta_y \left( Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \right) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(x)} \left\{ \left( \mu(x) + \sigma(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sigma(x) \right) \int_{0}^{t} W_s dW_s \right. \right. \]

\[ \left. \times \left( \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(x) dW_u - \sigma^2(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sigma(x) W_1 \right) \right\} \| \sigma(x) W_1 = y \]

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma(x)^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^2(x)}{\sigma(x)^2}} \left( \frac{\sigma(x)}{\sigma(x)} \right)^2 \]

Note that

\[ D_{1, u} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sigma(x) W_s dW_s = \sigma(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sigma(x) \{ W_u + \int_{u}^{t} dW_s \} = \sigma(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sigma(x) W_1 \]

Note that

\[ E \left[ \int_{0}^{t} W_s dW_s \right] = \sigma(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sigma(x) W_1 = y \]

Then, we obtain

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} E \left[ \delta_y \left( Y_{1}^{(\epsilon)} \right) \right] |_{\epsilon = 0} \]

\[ = \left\{ \mu(x) \frac{y}{\sigma(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sigma(x) \frac{y^3}{\sigma(x)^2} + 3y \frac{\sigma(x)^2}{\sigma(x)^2} \right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^2(x)}{\sigma(x)^2}}. \]  

(6.8)
Alternatively, we can evaluate the coefficients of the expansion in the following way.

Let

\[ L^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}, \]
\[ L^1 = \sigma(x_0) \frac{\partial \sigma(x_0)y}{\partial y^2} + \mu(x_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \]
\[ L^2 = \frac{1}{2} ((\partial \sigma(x_0))^2 + \sigma(x_0) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma(x_0)}{\partial y^2} + \partial \mu(x_0)y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} ) \]

then

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} P_1 P_0 f(y_0) |_{y_0 = 0} = \int_0^1 P^0_{(1-s)} L^1 P^0 f(y_0) ds |_{y_0 = 0}. \]

Let \( h \) be a map \( y \mapsto h(y) \) such that

\[ h(y) = L^1 P f(y) = L^1 P_{1-(1-s)} f(y) = L^1 E[f(Y_0^0) | Y_{1-s}^0 = y] = L^1 \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz \]
\[ = \left( \sigma(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) y \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \mu(x_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz. \] (6.9)

Then, we explicitly evaluate (5.26) for \( j = 1 \).

\[ P^0_{(1-s)} L^1 P^0 f(y_0) |_{y_0 = 0} = \int_R p^0(1-s, y_0, y) h(y) dy |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ = \int_R p^0(1-s, y_0, y) \left( \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz \right) dy |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ = \int_R p^0(1-s, y_0, y) \left( \sigma(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) (y - y_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \mu(x_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz dy |_{y_0 = 0}. \]

Note that

\[ p^0(1-s, y_0, y)(y - y_0) = (1-s) \sigma(x_0)^2 p^0(1-s, y_0, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \] (6.10)

Therefore, we have

\[ P^0_{(1-s)} L^1 P^0 f(y_0) |_{y_0 = 0} = \int_R \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} p^0(1-s, y_0, y) \left( \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz \right) dy |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ = \int_R \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0^2} p^0(1-s, y_0, y) \left( \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz \right) dy |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ + \int_R \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p^0(1-s, y_0, y) \left( \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz \right) dy |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ = (1-s) \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) \int_R \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0^2} p^0(1-s, y_0, y) \left( \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz \right) dy |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ + \mu(x_0) \int_R \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p^0(1-s, y_0, y) \left( \int_R p^0(s, y, z) f(z) dz \right) dy |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ = (1-s) \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) \int_R \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0^2} \left( \int_R p^0(1-s, y_0, y) p^0(s, y, z) dy \right) f(z) dz |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ + \mu(x_0) \int_R \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \int_R p^0(1-s, y_0, y) p^0(s, y, z) dy \right) f(z) dz |_{y_0 = 0} \]
\[ = (1-s) \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0^2} \int_R p^0(1-s, y_0, y) p^0(s, y, z) dy \]
\[ + \mu(x_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_R p^0(1-s, y_0, y) p^0(s, y, z) dy \]
\[ = (1-s) \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0^2} p^0(f(y_0)) |_{y_0 = 0} + \mu(x_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} P^0 f(y_0) |_{y_0 = 0}. \]
Then, the first order approximation term is given by
\[
\int_0^1 \mathbf{P}_{(1-t)} \mathcal{L}^{\alpha} \mathbf{P}_t^0 f(y_0) ds |_{y_0 = 0}
\]
\[
= \left( \int_0^1 (1 - ts) ds \right) \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial^3 \sigma(x_0) \partial^3 y_0 \mathbf{P}_t^0 f(y_0) |_{y_0 = 0} + \mu(x_0) \frac{\partial^3 \mathbf{P}_t^0 f(y_0) |_{y_0 = 0}}{\partial y_0}
\]
\[
= \int_R \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (x_0)^3 \partial^3 \sigma(x_0) \left( \frac{z^3}{\sigma(x_0)^6} - \frac{3z}{\sigma(x_0)^4} \right) + \mu(x_0) \frac{z}{\sigma(x_0)^2} \right\} \theta ^3 (1, 0, z) f(z) dz.
\] (6.11)

3. Moreover, the coefficient is computed by using the Lie bracket.
\[
\int_0^1 \mathbf{P}_{(1-t)} \mathcal{L}^{\alpha} \mathbf{P}_t^0 f(0) dt_1 = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - t_1)^i}{i!} \left[ \mathcal{L}^0, \left[ \mathcal{L}^0, \cdots, [\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^0] \right] \right] \right) \mathbf{P}_t^0 f(y_0) dt_1 |_{y_0 = 0}
\] (6.12)
\[
= \left( \mathcal{L}^1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^1 \right] \right) \mathbf{P}_t^0 f(y_0) |_{y_0 = 0}.
\] (6.13)

because $[\mathcal{L}^0, [\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^1]] = 0$ and hence all the terms in (6.12) for $i \geq 2$ are equal to 0.
The Lie bracket $[\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^1]$ is explicitly computed as follows.

\[
\mathcal{L}^0 \mathcal{L}^1 = \frac{1}{2} (1) \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial^3 (\sigma(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) y \partial^2)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) \left( \partial (\sigma^2 + y \sigma^3) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 + y \sigma^3 \right)
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}^1 \mathcal{L}^0 = \sigma(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) y \partial^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x_0)^2 \partial^2 \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) y \partial^4.
\]

Then
\[
[\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^1] = \mathcal{L}^0 \mathcal{L}^1 - \mathcal{L}^1 \mathcal{L}^0
\]
\[
= \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) \partial^3,
\]

Then we have
\[
\left( \mathcal{L}^1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^1 \right] \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma(x_0)^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x - \mu(x)}{\sigma(x_0)} \right)^2} |_{y_0 = 0}
\]
\[
= \left( \mu(x_0) \frac{y}{\sigma(x_0)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial \sigma(x_0) \left( \frac{y^2}{\sigma(x_0)^6} - \frac{3y}{\sigma(x_0)^4} \right) \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma(x_0)^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y}{\sigma(x_0)} \right)^2}.
\] (6.14)

The calculation of the second term approximation is given in Appendix.

### 6.2 Short Time Asymptotics for Stochastic Volatility Model with Log-normal Local Volatility

Consider the following stochastic volatility model with log-normal local volatility which includes the Heston type model:
\[
dS_t = rS_t dt + \sqrt{\sigmaS_t} dW_t,
\]
\[
S_0 = s_0 > 0,
\]
\[
dv_t = a(v_t) dt + b(v_t) dZ_t,
\]
\[
v_0 = v > 0,
\]

where $W_t$ and $Z_t$ are two standard Brownian motions with correlation $\rho$.

We have a short-time expansion of density for the logarithmic process.
Proposition 6.2 When \( t \downarrow 0 \), we have

\[
p^X(t, x_0, x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v_0 t}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2v_0 t} \right) \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{t} w_1(t, x_0, x) \right\},
\]

where \( x = \log s \), \( x_0 = \log s_0 \), and

\[
w_1(t, x_0, x) = \frac{1}{2} b^2 \left( \frac{h_A((x - x_0) \sqrt{1/t}, v_0)}{v_0} \right) + \left( r - \frac{1}{2} v_0 \right) \frac{h_A((x - x_0) \sqrt{1/t}, v_0)}{v_0}.
\]

Also, the following approximation formula of the option price holds:

\[
C(t, K) \sim \int_{\log(K)}^{\infty} (e^x - K) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v_0 t}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2v_0 t} \right) dx + \sqrt{t} \int_{\log(K)}^{\infty} (e^x - K) w_1(t, x_0, x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v_0 t}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2v_0 t} \right) dx.
\]

Proof 6.2 We will apply (5.17) and (5.26) in computation. First, we have the following stochastic Taylor expansion

\[
X^t = x_0 + X_{1t} + X_{2t} + R_2(t),
\]

where

\[
X_{1t} = \int_0^t \sqrt{v_0} dW_s, \quad X_{2t} = \int_0^t (r - \frac{1}{2} v_0) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{1}{v_0} b(v_0) dZ_u dW_s.
\]

Next, we introduce a time scaling parameter \( \epsilon = \sqrt{t} \),

\[
dX^t = \epsilon^2 (r - \frac{1}{2} v_0^2) dt + \epsilon \sqrt{v_0} dW_t, \quad dv^t = \epsilon^2 a(v^t) dt + \epsilon b(v^t) dZ_t.
\]

The generator of the above diffusion is

\[
A^x = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} v \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \epsilon^2 (r - \frac{1}{2} v) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \epsilon^2 \rho \sqrt{\epsilon b(v)} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} b(v) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} + \epsilon^2 a(v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}.
\]

Consider a transform \( Y = f^*(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (X - x_0) \), then the generator of \( (Y, v) \) is given by,

\[
L^v = \frac{1}{2} v \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v} + \epsilon (r - \frac{1}{2} v) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \epsilon \rho \sqrt{\epsilon b(v)} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} b(v) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} + \epsilon^2 a(v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}.
\]

\( X \) and \( Y \) are expanded in \( D^\infty \),

\[
X^t = x_0 + \epsilon X_{1t} + \epsilon^2 X_{2t} + O(\epsilon^3), \quad Y^t = Y_{0t} + \epsilon Y_{1t} + O(\epsilon^2),
\]

where

\[
Y_{0t} = X_{1t} = \int_0^t \sqrt{v_0} dW_s,
\]

\[
Y_{1t} = X_{2t} = \int_0^t (r - \frac{1}{2} v_0) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{1}{v_0} b(v_0) dZ_u dW_s.
\]

Note that

\[
p^X(t, x_0, x) = p^{Y^t}(1, f^{Y^t}(x_0), f^{Y^t}(x)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}.
\]
1. Using the Bismut identity, the first order approximation term is given as
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \rho^\epsilon (1, y_0, y)_{\epsilon=0} = E[H_1(Y_{01}, Y_{11})|Y_{01} = y_0] \rho^\epsilon (1, y_0, y)
\]

Alternatively, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
1. & \quad \frac{1}{\nu_0} E[Y_{11} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\nu_0} \text{d}W_{1,t} - \int_0^1 D_{11} Y_{11} \sqrt{\nu_0} \text{d}t | Y_{01} = y - y_0] \rho^\epsilon (1, y_0, y) \\
2. & \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \rho \sqrt{\nu_0} b(v_0) \left( \frac{(y - y_0)^2}{v_0^2} - 3 \left( \frac{y - y_0}{v_0} \right) \right) + \left( r - \frac{1}{2} v_0 \right) \left( \frac{y - y_0}{v_0} \right) \right\} \rho^\epsilon (1, y_0, y).
\end{align*}
\]
Then we have an approximation formula of the density
\[
p^\epsilon (1, y_0, y) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \nu_0}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-(y - y_0)^2}{2 \nu_0} \right\} \left( 1 + \sqrt{7} \zeta_1 (1, y_0, y) \right),
\]
where
\[
\zeta_1 (1, y_0, y) = \frac{1}{4} \rho \sqrt{\nu_0} b(v_0) \frac{h_3(y_1, v_0)}{v_0} + \left( r - \frac{1}{2} v_0 \right) \frac{h_1(y, v_0)}{v_0}.
\]
By (6.25) and (6.26) with \( y_0 = 0 \), we have the formula (6.15).

2. Alternatively, we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} f(y_0)|_{\epsilon=0} = \int_0^1 P^0_{(1-s)} L^1 P^0_{s} f(y_0) ds_{|y_0=0}
\]
with
\[
L^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} L^1|_{\epsilon=0} = (r - \frac{1}{2} v_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \rho \sqrt{\nu_0} b(v) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}.
\]
Note that
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \rho^\epsilon (s, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} s \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \rho^\epsilon (s, y, z).
\]
Let \( g \) be a map \( y \mapsto g(y) \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
g(y) &= L^1 P_{s} f(y) = L^1 P_{1-(s)} f(y) \\
&= L^1 E[f(Y^0)|Y_{0, s}^\epsilon = y] = L^1 \int_R p^\epsilon (s, y, z) f(z) dz.
\end{align*}
\]
Then, we explicitly evaluate (5.26) for \( j = 1 \).
\[
P^0_{(1-s)} L^1 P^0_{s} f(y_0)|_{y_0=0}
\]
\[
= \int_R p^\epsilon (1-s, y_0, y) g(y) dy
\]
\[
= \int_R p^\epsilon (1-s, y_0, y) \left( L^1 \int_R p^\epsilon (s, y, z) f(z) dz \right) dy
\]
\[
= \int_R p^\epsilon (1-s, y_0, y) \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho \sqrt{\nu_0} b(v) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3} + (r - \frac{1}{2} v_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \int_R p^\epsilon (s, y, z) f(z) dz dy
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \rho \sqrt{\nu_0} b(v) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3} \int_R f(y_0)|_{y_0=0} + (r - \frac{1}{2} v_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} \int_R f(y_0)|_{y_0=0}
\]
Therefore,
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^1 P^0_{(1-s)} L^1 P^0_{s} f(y_0) ds_{|y_0=0}
&= \int_R \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \rho \sqrt{\nu_0} b(v_0) \left( \frac{y^3}{v_0^3} - 3 \left( \frac{y}{v_0} \right) \right) + \left( r - \frac{1}{2} v_0 \right) \left( \frac{y}{v_0} \right) \right\} p^\epsilon (1, 0, y) dy.
\end{align*}
\]
The second order approximation can be obtained in the similar manner.
6.3 Short Time Asymptotics for Local-Stochastic Volatility Model

Consider the following diffusion:

\[ dX_t = \sigma_t c(X_t) dW_t, \quad X_0 = x_0 > 0, \]
\[ d\sigma_t = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2 t \exp \left( -\frac{(x_0 - x)^2}{2\sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2 t} \right) \left( 1 + \sqrt{t} \eta(t, x_0, x) \right), \]

where the map \( (x, y) \mapsto \exp \left( -\frac{(x - y)^2}{2\sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2 t} \right) \) is the fundamental solution to the following equation,
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - y)^2}{2\sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2 t} \right) = 0. \]

and \( \sigma_0 = \sigma > 0, \)

where \( W_t \) and \( Z_t \) are two standard Brownian motions with correlation \( \rho. \)

**Proposition 6.3** When \( t \downarrow 0, \) we have

\[ p(t, x_0, x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2 t}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x_0 - x)^2}{2\sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2 t} \right) \left( 1 + \sqrt{t} \eta(t, x_0, x) \right), \]

where

\[ \eta(t, x_0, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \rho b(\sigma) + \sigma^2 \partial c(x_0) \right) \sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^3 \left\{ \frac{h_3((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2)}{\sigma_t^2 c(x_0)^2} \right\}. \]

**Proof 6.3** We compute the coefficient of the first order in the expansion by applying (5.17) and (5.26).

First, we introduce the time scaling parameter \( \epsilon = \sqrt{t}, \)
\[ dX_t = \epsilon \sigma_t c(X_t) dW_t, \quad (6.40) \]
\[ d\sigma_t = \epsilon^2 a(\sigma_t) dt + \epsilon b(\sigma_t) dZ_t. \quad (6.41) \]

The generator \( \mathcal{A}^\prime \) associated with \( X \) is given by
\[ \mathcal{A}^\prime = \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2 c(x)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \epsilon^2 \rho a(\sigma) c(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \epsilon^2 b(\sigma) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} b(\sigma)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2}. \quad (6.42) \]

When \( \epsilon \downarrow 0, \) \( \mathcal{A}^\prime \) is degenerate. We consider the following transform,
\[ Y = \frac{X - x_0}{\epsilon}. \quad (6.43) \]

Then the generator \( \mathcal{L}^\prime \) associated with \( Y \) is elliptic under \( \epsilon \downarrow 0 \) and is given by
\[ \mathcal{L}^\prime = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2 c(x_0 + ey)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \epsilon \rho a(\sigma) c(x_0 + ey) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \epsilon b(\sigma) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} b(\sigma)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2}, \quad (6.44) \]
and
\[ u^\prime(t, y_0) = \mathcal{P}^\prime_{t, y_0} f(y_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) p^\prime_{t, y_0}(y, dy), \quad (6.45) \]
is the fundamental solution to the following equation,
\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^\prime \right) u^\prime(t, y_0) = 0, \quad (6.46) \]
\[ u^\prime(t_0, y_0) = f(y_0). \]

By differentiating (6.45) at \( t = 1, \) we have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \mathcal{P}^\prime_{1, y_0} f(y_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) w_1(y) p^\prime_{1, y_0}(y, dy), \quad (6.47) \]
where the map \( y \mapsto w_1(y) \) is the first order PDE weight.
1. Using the Malliavin-Bismut-Léandre’s formula, we derive the first order PDE weight $w_1(y)$.

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} P_1^0 f(y_0) = \int_0^1 P_{1-s}^0 L_1^0 P_s^0 f(y_0) ds
\]

(6.48)

\[
= \int_\mathbb{R} f(y) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(x_0)} E \left[ Y_{11} \int_0^1 \sigma c(x_0) dW_{1,1} - \int_0^1 D_{1,1} Y_{11} \sigma c(x_0) dt | Y_{01} = y \right] p(1, y_0, y) dy.
\]

$L_0^0$ and $L_1^0$ are given as follows:

\[
L_0^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 c(x_0)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(y),
\]

(6.49)

\[
L_1^0 = \sigma^2 c(x_0) \partial c(x_0) y \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \rho \sigma b(\sigma) c(x_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}.
\]

(6.50)

Note that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z) = \sigma \sigma c(x_0)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z),
\]

\[
p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) y = (1-s) \sigma^2 c(x_0)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0}.
\]

Let $g$ be a map $y \mapsto g(y)$ such that

\[
g(y) = L_1^0 P_1^0 f(y) = L_1^1 P_{1-s}^0 f(y)
\]

(6.51)

\[
= L_1^1 E[f(Y_1)| Y_{1-s} = y] = L_1^1 \int_\mathbb{R} p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z)f(z) dz.
\]

(6.52)

Then, we explicitly evaluate (5.26) for j = 1.

\[
P_{1-s}^0 L_1^0 P_1^0 f(y_0)|_{y_0=0} = \int_\mathbb{R} p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) g(y) dy|_{y_0=0}.
\]

\[
= \int_\mathbb{R} p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) L_1^0 \int_\mathbb{R} p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z)f(z) dz|_{y_0=0} dy
\]

\[
= \int_\mathbb{R} L_1 \left( \int_\mathbb{R} \left( \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{(1-s) \sigma^4 c(x_0)^3 \partial c(x_0)}{\partial y_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) \right) f(z) dz|_{y_0=0} \right) dy
\]

\[
\quad + \int_\mathbb{R} \left( \int_\mathbb{R} p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) \sigma \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z) dy \right) f(z) dz|_{y_0=0}
\]

\[
= \int_\mathbb{R} L_1 \left( \int_\mathbb{R} \left( \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{(1-s) \sigma^4 c(x_0)^3 \partial c(x_0)}{\partial y_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) \right) p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z) dy \right) f(z) dz|_{y_0=0}
\]

\[
\quad + \int_\mathbb{R} \left( \int_\mathbb{R} \left( \sigma \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) \right) p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z) dy \right) f(z) dz|_{y_0=0}
\]

\[
= (1-s) \sigma^4 c(x_0)^3 \partial c(x_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_0} \int_\mathbb{R} \left( \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z) dy} {\partial y_0} \right) f(z) dz|_{y_0=0}
\]

\[
\quad + \sigma \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_0} \int_\mathbb{R} \left( \int_\mathbb{R} p^{\gamma_0}(1-s, y_0, y) p^{\gamma_0}(s, y, z) dy \right) f(z) dz|_{y_0=0}
\]

\[
= (1-s) \sigma^4 c(x_0)^3 \partial c(x_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_0} \int_\mathbb{R} p^{\gamma_0}(1, y_0, z) f(z) dz + \sigma \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0} \int_\mathbb{R} p^{\gamma_0}(1, y_0, z) f(z) dz|_{y_0=0}
\]

\[
= (1-s) \sigma^4 c(x_0)^3 \partial c(x_0) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0} P_1^0 f(y_0)|_{y_0=0} + \sigma \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0} P_1^0 f(y_0)|_{y_0=0}.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
\int_0^1 P_{1-s}^0 L_1^0 P_s^0 f(y_0) ds|_{y_0=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^4 c(x_0)^3 \partial c(x_0) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0} + \sigma \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y_0} \right) P_0^0 f(y_0)|_{y_0=0}.
\]
and

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi^{y_0}(1, y_0, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \rho^2 c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \rho \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) p^y(1, y_0, y) \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \rho b(\sigma) + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \sigma^2 c(x_0)^3 \frac{h_3(y, \sigma^2 c(x_0)^2)}{\left(\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2\right)^3} p^y(1, y_0, y). \quad (6.53)
\]

Setting \( y_0 = 0 \), we obtain the result.

2. Next, we compute the first order PDE weight by applying (5.17) for \( j = 1 \) in the following way. First, \( X \) is approximated by stochastic Taylor expansion,

\[
X_t = x_0 + X_{1t} + X_{2t} + R_3(t),
\]

where

\[
X_{1t} = \int_0^t \sigma c(x_0) dW_s,
\]

\[
X_{2t} = \int_0^t \int_0^s b(\sigma) dZ_\sigma dW_s + \int_0^t \sigma d\epsilon(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma c(x_0) dW_\sigma dW_s.
\]

\( X^{(r)} \) and \( Y^{(r)} \) are expanded in \( D_\infty \),

\[
X^{(r)}_t = x_0 + \epsilon X_{1t} + \epsilon^2 X_{2r} + O(\epsilon^3),
\]

\[
Y^{(r)}_t = Y_{0t} + \epsilon Y_{1t} + O(\epsilon^2),
\]

where

\[
Y_{0t} = X_{1t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X^{(r)}_{1t} \bigg|_{x=0} = \int_0^t \sigma c(x_0) dW_s,
\]

\[
Y_{1t} = \int_0^t \int_0^s b(\sigma) dZ_\sigma dW_s + \int_0^t \sigma d\epsilon(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma c(x_0) dW_\sigma dW_s.
\]

Then, the PDE weight is calculated as follows,

\[
w_1(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2} E \left[ \int_1^0 \sigma c(x_0) dW_{1t} - \int D_1 Y_{11} \sigma c(x_0) dt [Y_{01} = y] \right]
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{y}{\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left( \frac{\rho \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3}{\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s duds h_2(y, \sigma^2 c(x_0)^2) + \sigma^2 c(x_0)^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_0^t \int_0^s duds h_3(y, \sigma^2 c(x_0)^2) \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\rho \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3}{\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2} \frac{h_2(y, \sigma^2 c(x_0)^2)}{\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2} + \frac{\sigma^2 c(x_0)^3}{\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2} \right).
\]

The following formula holds,

\[
p^y(1, x_0, x) = p(1, 0, f(x)) \frac{1}{x}
\]

and we have

\[
p(t, x_0, x) = p^x(1, x_0, x).
\]

Then, we obtain a short time off-diagonal asymptotic expansion of heat kernel,

\[
p(t, x_0, x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2 c(x_0)^2 t}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x_0 - x)^2}{2\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2 t} \right) \left( 1 + \sqrt{t} \eta(t, x_0, x) \right), \quad (6.61)
\]

where

\[
\eta(t, x_0, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \rho \sigma^2 b(\sigma) c(x_0)^3 \frac{h_3(x - x_0, \sqrt{t}, \sigma^2 c(x_0)^2)}{\sigma^2 c(x_0)^2} \right).
\]
6.4 Numerical Example

This subsection provides an example for option pricing under the short-time asymptotic expansion.

In particular, we use the following Heston model:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_t}{S_t} &= \sqrt{v_t}dW_{1,t}, \\
\frac{dv_t}{v_t} &= \kappa(\theta - v_t)dt + \nu\sqrt{v_t}(\rho dW_{1,t} + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}dW_{2,t}),
\end{align*}
\]

with parameters \( S_0 = 100, \quad v_0 = 0.16, \quad \kappa = 1.0, \quad \theta = 0.16, \quad \nu = 0.1, \quad \rho = -0.5 \).

The call option price with strike \( K \) and maturity \( t \) is approximated as follows:

\[
C(t, K) = E[(S_t - K)^+] \sim C_0(t, K) + \sqrt{t}C_1(t, K) + tC_2(t, K),
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
C_0(t, K) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^x - K)^+ p(t, x, 0)dx, \\
C_1(t, K) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^x - K)^+ w_1(t, x) p(t, x, 0)dx, \\
C_2(t, K) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^x - K)^+ w_2(t, x) p(t, x, 0)dx.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \( p(t, x, 0) \) is given in (6.15), and \( w_1(t, x, 0) \) and \( w_2(t, x, 0) \) are given in the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
w_1(t, x, 0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu\sigma^2}} \frac{h_3((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma)}{\sigma} \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) \frac{h_1((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma)}{\sigma}, \\
w_2(t, x, 0) &= \frac{1}{32} \sqrt{\nu\sigma^2} \frac{h_6((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma)}{\sigma} \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{1}{4} (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) - \frac{1}{12} \nu^2 \sigma^2 \right) \frac{h_4((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma)}{\sigma} \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{1}{16} \nu^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)^2 + \frac{1}{12} \kappa(\theta - \sigma^2) \frac{h_2((x - x_0)/\sqrt{t}, \sigma)}{\sigma}. \\
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2: Short time asymptotics \( T = 0.1 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strike</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>HKE order 2</th>
<th>HKE order 1</th>
<th>HKE order 0</th>
<th>Error order 2</th>
<th>Error order 1</th>
<th>Error order 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>30.81</td>
<td>-0.01%</td>
<td>-0.02%</td>
<td>2.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>20.19</td>
<td>20.19</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>20.95</td>
<td>-0.01%</td>
<td>-0.04%</td>
<td>3.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>-0.04%</td>
<td>-0.11%</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>-0.14%</td>
<td>-0.30%</td>
<td>8.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>-0.37%</td>
<td>-0.84%</td>
<td>13.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>-0.80%</td>
<td>-2.33%</td>
<td>22.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-1.38%</td>
<td>-6.04%</td>
<td>36.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Second Order Approximation in Section 6.1

1. Applying Bismut identity, the weights of second order approximations are calculated as follows.

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \mathbb{P}^Y(1,0,y) \big|_{z=0} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} E[H_2(Y_{01}, Y_{21})] + E[H_1(Y_{01}, Y_{21})] \right\} p_Y^Z(1,0,y).
\]
Table 3: Short time asymptotics $T = 0.2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strike</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>HKE order 2</th>
<th>HKE order 1</th>
<th>HKE order 0</th>
<th>Error order 2</th>
<th>Error order 1</th>
<th>Error order 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>30.15</td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>30.12</td>
<td>31.72</td>
<td>-0.03%</td>
<td>-0.09%</td>
<td>5.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>20.84</td>
<td>20.82</td>
<td>22.29</td>
<td>-0.06%</td>
<td>-0.17%</td>
<td>6.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>-0.13%</td>
<td>-0.33%</td>
<td>9.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>-0.31%</td>
<td>-0.66%</td>
<td>12.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>-0.63%</td>
<td>-1.35%</td>
<td>17.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>-1.14%</td>
<td>-2.75%</td>
<td>24.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-1.84%</td>
<td>-5.41%</td>
<td>34.48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Short time asymptotics $T = 0.3$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strike</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>HKE order 2</th>
<th>HKE order 1</th>
<th>HKE order 0</th>
<th>Error order 2</th>
<th>Error order 1</th>
<th>Error order 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>30.44</td>
<td>30.42</td>
<td>30.38</td>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>-0.07%</td>
<td>-0.21%</td>
<td>7.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>21.61</td>
<td>21.56</td>
<td>23.71</td>
<td>-0.12%</td>
<td>-0.35%</td>
<td>9.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>-0.24%</td>
<td>-0.60%</td>
<td>12.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>-0.49%</td>
<td>-1.06%</td>
<td>16.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>-0.89%</td>
<td>-1.91%</td>
<td>20.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>-1.47%</td>
<td>-3.38%</td>
<td>27.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>-2.24%</td>
<td>-5.83%</td>
<td>35.59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Iterating the Bismut identity, the terms of $\frac{1}{2}H_2(Y_{0t}, Y_{21})$ are calculated as follows:

\[
E \left[ H_2 \left( Y_{0t}^{(0)}, \frac{1}{2} (\mu(x_0) t)^2 \right) \right] | \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \\
= \frac{1}{2} (\mu(x_0) t)^2 \frac{h_2(y, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^2},
\]

\[
E \left[ H_2 \left( Y_{t}^{(0)}, \mu(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t W_s dW_s \right) \right] | \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \\
= \frac{1}{2} \mu(x_0) \sigma^2(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) \frac{h_4(y, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^4},
\]

\[
E \left[ H_2 \left( Y_{t}^{(0)}, \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) \right)^2 \left( \int_0^t W_s dW_s \right)^2 \right] | \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \\
= \frac{1}{8} \left( \partial \sigma(x_0) \right)^2 \frac{h_6(y, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^6} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \partial \sigma(x_0) \right)^2 \frac{h_4(y, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \sigma(x_0) \partial \sigma(x_0) \right)^2 \frac{h_2(y, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^2}.
\]

The terms of $H_1(Y_{0t}, Y_{21})$ are calculated as follows,

\[
E \left[ H_1 \left( Y_{0t}^{(0)}, \partial \mu(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t W_s ds \right) \right] | \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \\
= \frac{1}{2} \partial \mu(x_0) \sigma^2(x_0) t \frac{h_2(y, \sigma^2(x_0))}{(\sigma^2(x_0))^2},
\]
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Therefore, we obtain the second approximation term

\[
E \left[ H_1 \left( Y_t^{(0)}, \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x_0) \sigma^{4}(x_0) \int_0^t W_s^2 dW_s \right) | \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right] = \\
= \frac{1}{6} \sigma^2(x_0) \sigma^{4}(x_0) \int_0^t W_s^2 dW_s \right) | \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right]
\]

\[
E \left[ H_1 \left( Y_t^{(0)}, \mu(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right] = \\
= \frac{1}{2} \mu(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right] \]

\[
E \left[ H_1 \left( Y_t^{(0)}, (\sigma(x_0))^2 \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right] = \\
= \frac{1}{6} \sigma(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right] \]

Therefore, we obtain the second approximation term

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} E[\delta_y(Y_t^{(0)})] | \sigma = 0 = \\
= \frac{1}{8} \sigma(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right] \]

\[
\frac{1}{4} \left( \sigma^2(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right) + 2 \mu(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right) \]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^2(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right) + 2 \mu(x_0) \sigma(x_0) \int_0^t \sigma(x_0) W_t = y \right) \]

\[
\cdot p(1, x_0, y) \right]
\]

2. Using the Lie bracket, the second order term is calculated as follows.

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} P_t = \int_0^t \int_0^t P_{t-s} \mathcal{L}^0 \mathcal{L}^0 f(y_t) dt_1 dt_2 | y_0 = 0 + \int_0^t P_{t-s} \mathcal{L}^0 \mathcal{L}^0 f(y_t) dt_1 | y_0 = 0.
\]

The first term is given by

\[
\int_0^t \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}^0 + (t-s)) [\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^0] (\mathcal{L}^0 + (t-s)) [\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^0] f(y_t) dt_1 dt_2 | y_0 = 0,
\]

since \([\mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{L}^0] = 0\).
The second term is given by
\[
\int_0^t (L^2 + (t - t_1) [L^0, L^2] + \frac{1}{2} (t - t_1)^2 [L^0, L^0]) P_{\phi}^0 f(y_0) dt_1|_{y_0=0},
\]
because \([L^0, [L^0, L^0]] = 0\).

Then we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}|_{t=0} \mathbf{y}^o (1, 0, y) =
\]
\[
= \left( L^2 + \frac{1}{2} [L^0, L^2] + \frac{1}{6} [L^0, L^0, L^2] \right) + \left( L^1 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} [L^0, L^1] L^1 + \frac{1}{6} [L^0, L^1]^2 \right) p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0},
\]
where
\[
p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma(x_0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y-y_0}{\sigma(x_0)} \right)^2}.
\]

Each term is calculated as follows.

\[
L^2 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0} = 0,
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} [L^0, L^2] p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x_0)^2 \left( \partial_\mu(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 \right) \partial_\sigma^2 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0},
\]
\[
\frac{1}{6} [L^0, L^0, L^2] p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0} = \frac{1}{6} \sigma(x_0)^4 \left( \partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 + \partial_\sigma^2(x_0) \right) \partial_\sigma^4 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0},
\]
\[
(L^1)^2 p (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\sigma(x_0) \right) \sigma(x_0) + \mu(x_0)^2 \partial_\sigma^2 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0},
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} [L^0, L^1] L^1 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0} = \frac{1}{3} \mu(x_0) \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial_\sigma(x_0) \partial_\sigma^4 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0},
\]
\[
\frac{1}{6} [L^0, L^1]^2 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0} = \frac{1}{6} \left( \mu(x_0) + 3 \sigma(x_0) \partial_\sigma(x_0) \right) \sigma(x_0)^3 \partial_\sigma(x_0) \partial_\sigma^4 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0},
\]
\[
\frac{1}{8} [L^0, L^1]^3 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0} = \frac{1}{8} \sigma(x_0)^6 \partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 \partial_\sigma^2 p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, y_0, y)|_{y_0=0}.
\]

Hence, we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}|_{t=0} \mathbf{y}^o (1, 0, y) =
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{8} \partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 \sigma(x_0)^2 \frac{\partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 (y_0, \sigma^2(x_0))}{\sigma^2(x_0)^2}
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{6} \left( \partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 \sigma(x_0)^2 + 4 \partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 \sigma(x_0) + 3 \mu(x_0) \partial_\sigma(x_0) \right) \frac{\partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 (y_0, \sigma^2(x_0))}{\sigma^2(x_0)^2}
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \left( \partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 \sigma(x_0)^2 + 2 \mu(x_0) \partial_\sigma(x_0) \sigma(x_0) + \partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 \sigma(x_0)^2 + 2 \mu(x_0)^2 \right) \frac{\partial_\sigma(x_0)^2 (y_0, \sigma^2(x_0))}{\sigma^2(x_0)^2}
\]
\[
\times p^{\mathbf{y}^o} (1, 0, y).
\]
Therefore, we obtain the result.
References